An EGZ generalization for 5 colors

David Grynkiewicz * and Andrew Schultz †

July 26, 2002

Abstract

Let $g_{zs}(m,2k)$ ($g_{zs}(m,2k+1)$) be the minimal integer such that any coloring Δ of the integers from $1,\ldots,g_{zs}(m,2k)$ by $\biguplus_{i=1}^{k}\mathbb{Z}_m^i$ (the integers from $1,\ldots,g_{zs}(m,2k+1)$ by $\biguplus_{i=1}^{k}\mathbb{Z}_m^i\cup\{\infty\}$) there exist integers

$$x_1<\cdots<x_m<y_1<\cdots<y_m$$

such that

1. there exists j_x such that $\Delta(x_i)\in\mathbb{Z}_m^{j_x}$ for each i and $\sum_{i=1}^{m}x_i=0$ mod m (or $\Delta(x_i)=\infty$ for each i);
2. there exists j_y such that $\Delta(y_i)\in\mathbb{Z}_m^{j_y}$ for each i and $\sum_{i=1}^{m}y_i=0$ mod m (or $\Delta(y_i)=\infty$ for each i); and
3. $2(x_m-x_1)\le y_m-x_1$.

In this note we show $g_{zs}(m,2)=5m-4$ for $m\ge 2$, $g_{zs}(m,3)=7m+\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor-6$ for $m\ge 4$, $g_{zs}(m,4)=10m-9$ for $m\ge 3$, and $g_{zs}(m,5)=13m-2$ for $m\ge 2$.

1 Introduction

Denote by $[a,b]$ the set of integers x such that $a\le x\le b$. For a set S, an S-coloring of $[a,b]$ is a mapping $\Delta:[a,b]\to S$. If $S=\{1,\ldots,r\}$, we say Δ is an r-coloring. The following is the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem, [9] [8].

Proposition 1.1. *Any sequence of at least $2m-1$ elements of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ contains a subsequence of m elements whose sum is zero modulo m.*

Several theorems of Ramsey-type have been generalized by considering Z_m-colorings and zero-sum configurations rather than 2-colorings and monochromatic configurations. Such theorems are called generalizations in the sense of

*Department of Mathematics, Caltech, Pasadena, CA, 91125
†Supported by NSF grant DMS 0097317

EGZ. Best known of these results is the zero-sum-tree theorem [4] [19], and other results concerning graphs and hypergraphs can be found in [10] and [1].

Ramsey-type problems dealing with colorings of the natural numbers can be classified as one-set problems initiated in [7] and further explored in [3] [6] [15] [16] [17] [18] and two-set problems initiated in [5] and further investigated in [13] [20] [21]. We introduce some definitions towards generalizing two-set problems in the sense of EGZ.

Let the set $\biguplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}_m^i$ denote the pairwise disjoint union of k copies of the set of elements $\mathbb{Z}_m$ and let ∞ denote a symbol such that $\infty \notin \biguplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}_m^i$. Just as the EGZ Theorem generalizes the pigeonhole principle for 2 boxes and m pigeons, the following observation generalizes to an arbitrary number of boxes.

Observation 1.2. *Let $m \geq 2$ and $r = 2k$ $(r = 2k+1)$ be positive integers. Any sequence of at least $r(m-1)+1$ elements from $\biguplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}_m^i$ (from $\biguplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}_m^i \cup \{\infty\}$) contains a subsequence of m elements from some $\mathbb{Z}_m^i$ whose sum is zero modulo m (or a subsequence of m ∞ elements).*

For a positive integer r and a system of inequalities L in $2m$ variables, let $R(L; r)$ denote the minimal integer N such that every r-coloring of $[1, N]$ contains two sets S_1 and S_2, each being monochromatic and of cardinality m, such that $S_1 \cup S_2$ forms a solution to L. In a similar way, if $r = 2k$ $(r = 2k+1)$ let $R_{zs}(L; r)$ denote the minimum integer N such that every $\biguplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}_m^i$-coloring $(\biguplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}_m^i \cup \{\infty\}$-coloring) of $[1, N]$ contains two sets S_1 and S_2, each being zero-sum in $\mathbb{Z}_m^i$ (or ∞-monochromatic) and of cardinality m, such that $S_1 \cup S_2$ forms a solution to L.

It is easy to see that $R(L; r) \leq R_{zs}(L; r)$. If equality holds for a given r, we say the system L admits an EGZ generalization for r colors. Using the definitions above, it was proved in [5] that $R(\bar{L}; 2) = 5m - 3$ and $R(\bar{L}; 3) = 9m - 7$ where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L} := {} & x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_m < y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_m; \\ & x_m - x_1 \leq y_m - y_1. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, they proved $\bar{L}$ admits an EGZ generalization for 2 and 3 colors.

Recently in a sequence of three papers [13], [12], [11] the first author showed $R(\bar{L}; 4) = 12m - 9$ and that $\bar{L}$ admits an EGZ generalization for 4 colors. In achieving this result, a new tool was developed in [11]. We state in Proposition 2.1 a particular case equivalent to a result from [14]. It seems that the determination of $R(\bar{L}; 5)$ would not be easy or short. At present the authors are not aware of any nontrivial two set EGZ generalizations for 5 colors.

The motivation for this paper is twofold. First, we wished to find a system $\mathcal{L}$ that admits an EGZ generalization for 5 colors. Second, we wanted to test the conjecture below from [2].

Conjecture 1.3 *Let k and m be positive integers. If L_1 and L_2 are two systems of inequalities in $2m$ variables such that every positive integer solution of L_1 is a solution of L_2, and if L_2 admits an EGZ generalization in r colors, then L_1 admits an EGZ generalization in r colors.*

Toward these ends we have chosen to look at the system $\mathcal{L}$ first investigated by the second author in [20] defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L} := x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_m < y_1 < y_2 < \cdots < y_m;\\ y_m - x_1 \geq 2(x_m - x_1).\end{aligned}$$

In Section 2 we state some preliminary definitions and tools, and in Section 3 we determine $R_{zs}(\mathcal{L}; r)$ for $r \in [2, 5]$. In conjunction with the results from [20], these results show $\mathcal{L}$ admits EGZ generalizations for these values of r.

2 Preliminaries

Along with the EGZ theorem we shall need the following result, an easy consequence of the EGZ Theorem and [11] or [14].

Proposition 2.1. *If $H = (h_1, \ldots, h_k)$ is a sequence of at least $2m-1$ elements from $\mathbb{Z}_m$, then one of the following holds:*

1. *any sequence of $\lfloor \frac{3}{2}m \rfloor$ elements from H contains a subsequence of m elements whose sum is zero modulo m*
2. *there exists a partition $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{k-m}$ of $H \setminus \{h_k\}$ with $|\sum_{i=1}^{k-m} A_i| = m$; or*
3. *there exists $j \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $h_i = j$ for all but $m-2$ elements $h_i \in H$.*

An m-set, denoted $Z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m)$, is a sequence of m distinct positive integers such that $z_1 < \cdots < z_m$. For a pair of m-sets X and Y, we write $X \prec Y$ if $x_m < y_1$. For $Z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ we also adopt the following notation:
(i) $int_i(Z) = z_i$ for $i \leq m$;
(ii) $first_k(Z) = \{z_1, \ldots, z_{\min\{k,m\}}\}$;
(iii) $first(Z) = z_1$;
(iv) $last_k(Z) = \{z_{\max\{1,n-k\}}, \ldots, x_m\}$; and
(v) $last(Z) = z_m$.

For matters of notation and consistency with [20], we shall denote $R_{zs}(\mathcal{L}, r)$ by $g_{zs}(m, r)$. To facilitate our evaluation of $g_{zs}(m, r)$, we make the following observation.

Observation 2.2. *Let positive integer $r = 2k$ (integer $r = 2k+1$) be given, and let $\Delta : [1, n] \to \biguplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}_m^i$ ($\Delta : [1, n] \to \biguplus_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{Z}_m^i \cup \{\infty\}$) be given. If there exists a zero-sum (zero-sum or monochromatic) m-set $Y \subset [r(m-1)+1, n]$ such that $y_m \geq 2r(m-1)+1$, then the system $\mathcal{L}$ is satisfied.*

Proof. By Observation 1.2 there is some zero-sum or monochromatic m-set $X \subset [1, r(m-1)+1]$. If a zero-sum or monochromatic m-set $Y \subset [r(m-1)+1, n]$ exists, then $X \prec Y$. If $y_m \geq 2r(m-1)+1$ we have $y_m - x_1 \geq 2r(m-1)+1-x_1 \geq 2(r(m-1)+1-x_1) \geq 2(x_m - x_1)$. $\square$

3 Evaluation of $g_{zs}(m, r)$ for $r \in [2, 5]$

The determination of $g_{zs}(m, 2)$ is a simple application of the EGZ Theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *If $m \geq 2$ is an integer, then $g_{zs}(m, 2) = 5m - 4$.*

Proof. That $g_{zs}(m, 2) \geq 5m - 4$ follows from $g(m, 2) = 5m - 4$ as found in [20] and the trivial fact that $g_{zs}(m, 2) \geq g(m, 2)$.

By Observation 2.2 it is sufficient to find a zero-sum m-set $Y \subset [2m, 5m-4]$ with $y_m \geq 4m - 3$. Let $P = [3m-2, 5m-4]$. Since $|P| = 2m - 1$ there exists some zero-sum m-set $Y \subset P$. Since $|P \cap [3m-2, 4m-4]| = m - 1$ it follows that $y_m \geq 4m - 3$. $\square$

The determination of $g_{zs}(m, 3)$ will require the use of Proposition 2.1 and the following two lemmas, proofs for which can be found in [20].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $m \geq 4$ be an integer, and let $\Delta : [1, 3m-4] \to \mathbb{Z}_m \cup \{\infty\}$ be a coloring. If $|\Delta^{-1}(\infty)| \geq 3m - \left\lceil \frac{m}{2} \right\rceil - 2$, then there exist monochromatic m-sets $X \prec Y$ such that $y_m - x_1 \geq 2(x_m - x_1)$.*

Lemma 3.3. *Let $m \geq 4$ be an integer. If $\Delta : [3m-1, 7m + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor - 6] \to [1, 3]$ is a given coloring, then either*

1. *there exists a monochromatic m-set Y such that $y_m \geq 6m - 5$ or*
2. *there exist monochromatic m-sets $W \prec Y$ such that $y_m - w_1 \geq 2(w_m - w_1)$.*

Theorem 3.4. *If $m \geq 4$ is an integer, then $g_{zs}(m, 3) = 7m + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor - 6$.*

Proof. That $g_{zs}(m,3) \geq 7m + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6$ follows from $g(m,3) = 7m + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6$ as found in [20] and the trivial fact that $g_{zs}(m,3) \geq g(m,3)$.

Next we show that $g_{zs}(m,3) \leq 7m + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6$. Let $\Delta : [1, 7m + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6] \to \mathbb{Z}_m \cup \{\infty\}$ be an arbitrary coloring. By Observation 2.2 it is sufficient to find a zero-sum or monochromatic m-set $Y \subset [3m-1, 7m+\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6]$ with $y_m \geq 6m-5$.

For convenience we let

$$P = \Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m) \cap [3m-1, 7m + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor - 6].$$

To complete the proof we consider three cases based on $k = |\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cap [6m-5, 7m + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6]|$.

Case 1. Suppose $k = 0$. If $|P| \geq 2m-1$, one may find a zero-sum m set $Y \subset [3m-1, 7m + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6]$ with $y_m \geq 6m-5$. Otherwise $|P| < 2m-1$, so that $|\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cap [3m-1, 6m-6]| \geq 3m - \lceil \frac{m}{2} \rceil - 2$. Shifting $[3m-1, 6m-6]$ to the interval $[1, 3m-4]$ and applying Lemma 3.2 completes the proof.

Case 2. Suppose $0 < k \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor$, so that

$$|P \cap [6m-5, 7m + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor - 6]| = m + \left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor - k \geq m$$

. If $|\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cap [3m-1, 6m-6]| \geq m-1$, then we are done. Hence we may assume otherwise, so that $|\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m) \cap [3m-1, 6m-6]| \geq 2m-2$. Selecting $P' \subset P$ such that $|P'| = 2m-1$ and $|P \cap [6m-7, 7m+\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6]| = m$ completes the case.

Case 3. Suppose $\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor < k < m$. We may assume that $|\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cap [3m-1, 6m-6]| < m-k$, since otherwise the proof is complete by taking $Y = last_m(\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cap [3m-1, 7m + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6]$. Hence, $|P| > 2m-1$. We complete this case by considering three subcases.

Subcase 3a. Suppose any $\lfloor \frac{3}{2}m \rfloor$ elements of P contain a zero-sum m-set. Since $|P \cap [6m-5, 7m + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6]| \geq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1$ we may select $P' \subset P$ with $\lfloor \frac{3}{2}m \rfloor$ elements such that $|P' \cap [6m-5, 7m + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6]| \geq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + 1$. By assumption P' contains a zero-sum m-set Y. Since $|P' \cap [3m-1, 6m-6]| < m$ it follows that $y_m \geq 6m-5$.

Subcase 3b. Suppose there exists a partition $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{|P|-m+1}$ of P where $A_{|P|-m+1} = \{last(P)\}$ with

$$|\sum_{i=1}^{|P|-m} A_i| = m.$$

Hence there exists a zero-sum m-set Y with $y_m = last(P) \geq 6m-5$.

Subcase 3c. If neither Subcase 3a nor 3b apply, then by Proposition 2.1 it follows that $\Delta(p) = j \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ for all but at most $m-2$ elements $p \in P$; for convenience, let $H = \{p \in P | \Delta(p) \neq j\}$. Induce a coloring $\Delta_e : [3m-1, 7m + \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 6] \to [1,3]$ defined by

$$\Delta_e(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } x \in P \setminus H \\ 2, & \text{for } x \in H \\ 3, & \text{for } \Delta(x) = \infty \end{cases}$$

Note that any monochromatic m-set W in Δ_e is either a zero-sum or monochromatic m-set in Δ since $|\Delta^{-1}(2)| \leq m-2$. Hence, the result follows by Lemma 3.3.

Case 4. If $k \geq m$ the result follows trivially. □

We consider the evaluation of $g_{zs}(m,4)$. Towards that end we use the following lemma, the proof for which may be found in [20].

Lemma 3.5. *Let $m \geq 3$ be an integer. If $\Delta : [4m-2, 10m-9] \to [1,4]$ is a given coloring, then either*

1. *there exists a monochromatic m-set Y such that $y_m \geq 8m-7$ or*
2. *there exist monochromatic m-sets $W \prec Y$ such that $y_m - w_1 \geq 2(w_m - w_1)$.*

Theorem 3.6. *If $m \geq 3$ is an integer, then $g_{zs}(m,4) = 10m-9$.*

Proof. That $g_{zs}(m,4) \geq 10m-9$ follows from $g(m,4) = 10m-9$ as found in [] and the trivial fact that $g_{zs}(m,4) \geq g(m,4)$.

Next we show that $g_{zs}(m,4) \leq 10m-9$. Let $\Delta : [1, 10m-9] \to \mathbb{Z}_m^1 \uplus \mathbb{Z}_m^2$ be an arbitrary coloring. By Observation 2.2 it is sufficient to find a zero-sum m-set $Y \subset [4m-2, 10m-9]$ with $y_m \geq 8m-7$.

Since $|[8m-7, 10m-9]| = 2m-1$, without loss of generality we may assume $|\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2) \cap [8m-7, 10m-9]| = m+k$ where $k \geq 0$. If $|\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2) \cap [4m-2, 8m-8]| \geq m-1-k$, then by the EGZ theorem there exists a zero-sum m-set $Y \subset [4m-2, 10m-9]$ with $y_m \geq 8m-7$. Hence we may assume

$$|\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2) \cap [4m-2, 8m-8]| \leq m-2-k. \tag{1}$$

Letting $P = \Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [4m-2, 10m-9]$, we thus have $|P \cap [4m-2, 8m-8]| \geq 3m-3+k$. We finish the proof by considering three cases.

Case 1. Suppose any $\lfloor \frac{3}{2}m \rfloor$ elements of P contain a zero-sum m-set. If such an m-set Y satisfies $y_m \geq 8m-7$ we are done; hence we assume that any $\lfloor \frac{3}{2}m \rfloor - (m-1-k) = \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + k + 1$ elements from $\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [4m-2, 8m-8]$ contain a zero-sum m-set. Hence, for $W' = first_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + k+1}(\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [4m-2, 8m-8])$ there exists some m-set $W \subset W'$ that is zero-sum.

By Equation 1 we have

$$t = |\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2) \cap [4m-2, w_m]| \leq m - 2 - k, \tag{2}$$

so that $w_m - w_1 \leq \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + k + 1 + t - 1$. Hence, if there exists a zero-sum m-set Y such that $W \prec Y$ and

$$y_m \geq 2(w_m - w_1) + w_1 \geq 2(\left\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \right\rfloor + k + t) + 4m - 2 \tag{3}$$

we shall be done. Taking $Y' = last_{\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + k+1}(\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [4m-2, 8m-8])$, we see that there exists an m-set $Y \subset Y'$ which satisfies these requirements as follows. First, it is quickly verified that there are at least $2(\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + k + 1)$ many elements from $\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1)$ in $[4m-2, 8m-8]$ for every $m \geq 3$. Hence, we have $W' \prec Y'$, from which it follows that $W \prec Y$. Second, we note that

$$y_m \geq 8m - 8 - |Y' \setminus Y| - (|\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2) \cap [4m-2, 8m-8]| - t).$$

By using Equations 1 and 2, one may easily verify that this implies $y_m \geq 2(\lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor + k + t) + 4m - 2$, so that Equation 3 is satified.

Case 2. Suppose there exists a partition $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{|P|-m+1}$ of P where where $A_{|P|-m+1} = \{last(P)\}$ such that

$$|\sum_{i=1}^{|P|-m+1} A_i| = m.$$

Hence there exists a zero-sum m-set $Y \subset [4m-2, 10m-9]$ with $y_m = last(P) \geq 8m - 7$.

Case 3. If neither Case 1 nor Case 2 apply, then by Proposition 2.1 it follows that $\Delta(p) = j \in \mathbb{Z}_m^1$ for all but at most $m-1$ elements $p \in P$; for convenience, define $H = \{p \in P | \Delta(p) \neq j\}$. Induce a coloring $\Delta_e : [4m-2, 10m-9] \to [1, 4]$ defined by

$$\Delta_e(x) = \begin{cases} 1, \text{ for } x \in P \setminus H \\ 2, \text{ for } x \in H \\ 3, \text{ for } x \in first_{m-1}(\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [4m-2, 10m-9]) \\ 4, \text{ for } x = int_i(\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [4m-2, 10m-9]), m \leq i \leq 2m-2 \end{cases}$$

Note that any monochromatic m-set X in Δ_e is either a zero-sum m-set in Δ since $|\Delta^{-1}(j)| \leq m - 2$ for each $j \neq 1$. Hence, by Lemma 3.5 the proof is complete. $\square$

The evaluation of $g_{zs}(m,5)$ requires two results from the evaluation of $g(m,2)$ and $g(m,5)$ found in [20].

Lemma 3.7. *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. If $\Delta : [5m-3, 13m-12] \to [1,5]$ is a given coloring, then either*

1. *there exists a monochromatic m-set Y such that $y_m \geq 10m-9$ or*
2. *there exist monochromatic m-sets $W \prec Y$ such that $y_m - w_1 \geq 2(w_m - w_1)$.*

Lemma 3.8. *Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. If $\Delta : [5m-3, 10m-10] \to [1,2]$ is a coloring with $|\Delta^{-1}(c)| \leq m-2$ for some $c \in [1,2]$, then there exist monochromatic m-sets $X' \prec Y'$ such that $y'_m - x'_1 \geq 2(x'_m - x'_1)$.*

Theorem 3.9. *If $m \geq 2$ is an integer, then $g_{zs}(m,5) = 13m-12$.*

Proof. That $g_{zs}(m,5) \geq 13m-12$ follows from $g(m,5) = 13m-12$ as found in [20] and the trivial fact that $g_{zs}(m,5) \geq g(m,5)$.

We now show $g_{zs}(m,5) \leq 13m-12$. Let $\Delta : [1, 13m-12] \to \mathbb{Z}_m^1 \uplus \mathbb{Z}_m^2 \cup \{\infty\}$ be an arbitrary coloring. By Observation 2.2 it is sufficient to find a zero-sum m-set $Y \subset [5m-3, 13m-12]$ with $y_m \geq 10m-9$.

The case of $|\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cap [10m-9, 13m-12]| \geq m$ is trivial, so we may assume otherwise. Hence, it follows that $|\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^i) \cap [10m-9, 13m-2]| \geq m$ for some $i \in [1,2]$, say $i = 2$. Furthermore, if $|\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cap [10m-9, 13m-12]| = 0$, then either $|\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2) \cap [10m-9, 13m-12]| \geq 2m-1$ or $|\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [10m-9, 13m-12]| \geq m$. In the former case the proof is complete by the EGZ Theorem; in the latter case, we must have $|(\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cup \Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2)) \cap [5m-3, 10m-10]| \leq m-2$. Hence we may induce a coloring $\Delta_e : [5m-3, 10m-10] \to [1,2]$ defined by

$$\Delta_e(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } \Delta(x) = \infty \\ 2, & \text{for } x \in (\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cup \Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2)) \cap [5m-3, 10m-10]. \end{cases}$$

Since any monochromatic m-set in Δ_e is also monochromatic in Δ, the result follows from by Lemma 3.8. Hence $|\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cap [10m-9, 13m-12]| > 0$

Let $k = |(\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cup \Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2)) \cap [10m-9, 13m-12]|$. Clearly $k < 3m-2$. We also have

$$|(\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cup \Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2)) \cap [5m-3, 10m-10]| < 3m-2-k, \tag{4}$$

since otherwise there exists either a zero-sum or monochromatic m-set Y with $y_m \in [10m-9, 13m-12]$. Likewise we may assume that any

$$\begin{aligned} 2m - 1 - |\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [10m-9, 13m-12]| &= 2m - 1 - (3m - 2 - k) \\ &= k + 1 - m \end{aligned}$$

elements from $\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [5m-3, 10m-10]$ contain a zero-sum m-set.

Let $W' = first_{k+1-m}(\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [5m-3, 10m-10])$, so that by assumption there exists a zero-sum m-set $W \subset W'$. From Equation 4 we see that

$$t = |(\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cup \Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2)) \cap [5m-3, w_m]| \leq 3m - 3 - k \tag{5}$$

so that $w_m - w_1 \leq k + 1 - m + t - 1$. Hence, if there exists a zero-sum m-set Y such that $W \prec Y$ and

$$y_m \geq 2(k - m + t) + x_1 \geq 3m + 2k + 2t - 3 \tag{6}$$

we shall be done. Taking $Y' = last_{k+1-m}(\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1) \cap [5m-3, 10m-10])$, we see that there exists an m-set $Y \subset Y'$ which satisfies these requirements as follows. First, using Equation 4 one may verify that there are at least $2(k+1-m)$ many elements from $\Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^1)$ in $[5m-3, 10m-10]$ for every $m \geq 2$. Hence, we have $W' \prec Y'$, from which it follows that $W \prec Y$. Second, we note that

$$y_m \geq 10m - 10 - |Y' \setminus Y| - (|(\Delta^{-1}(\infty) \cup \Delta^{-1}(\mathbb{Z}_m^2)) \cap [5m-3, 10m-10]| - t).$$

By using Equations 4 and 5, one may verify that this implies $y_m \geq 3m + 2k + 2t - 3$, so that Equation 6 is satified. $\square$

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank Professor A. Bialostocki for suggesting that we investigate Conjectures 1.3 and for many fruitful discussions.

References

[1] P. Baginsky, *A generalization of a Ramsey-type theorem on hypermatchings*. Manuscript, 2002.

[2] A. Bialostocki, Private Communication, June 2002.

[3] A. Bialostocki, G. Bialostocki, and D. Schaal, *A zero-sum theorem*. To appear in J. Combin. Theory, Ser A.

[4] A. Bialostocki, and P. Dierker, *On zero sum Ramsey numbers: multiple copies of a graph*. J. Graph Theory 18 (1994), 143-151.

[5] A. Bialostocki, P. Erdős and H. Lefmann, *Monochromatic and zero-sum sets of nondecreasing diameter*. Discrete Math. 137 (1995), 19-34.

[6] A. Bialostocki, R. Sabar, *On constrained 2-Partitions of monochromatic sets and generalizations in the sense of Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv*. Manuscript, 2002.

[7] A. Bialostocki, R. Sabar, and D. Schaal, *On a zero-sum generalization of a variation of Schur's equation*. Preprint (2002).

[8] Y. Caro, *Zero-Sum problems–a survey*. Discrete Math. 152 (1996) 93-113.

[9] P. Erdős, A. Ginzburg and A. Ziv, *Theorem in additive number theory*, Bull. Research Council Israel **10 F** (1961) 41-43.

[10] Z. Furedi, and D. Kleitman, *On zero-trees*. J. Graph Theory, 16 (1992), 107-120.

[11] D. Grynkiewicz, *On a partition analog of the Cauchy-Davenport Theorem*. Preprint.

[12] D. Grynkiewicz, *On four colored sets with noncecreasing diameter and the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem*. To appear in Journal of Combin. Theory, Ser. A.

[13] D. Grynkiewicz, *On four color monochromatic sets with nondecreasing diameter*. Manuscript, 2002.

[14] J. E. Olson, An addition theorem for finite abelian groups, *Journal of Number Theory* 9 (1977), 63-70.

[15] R. Sabar, *A note on a generalization in the sense of Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv*. Manuscript, 2002.

[16] R. Sabar, *Monochromatic and zero-sum solutions of a variation of Schur's equation*. Manuscript, 2002.

[17] R. Sabar, *Partial-Ascending waves and the Erdő-s-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem*. Manuscript, 2002.

[18] R. Sabar, *On a family of inequalities and the Erdős-Ginzburg-Ziv Theorem*. Manuscript, 2002.

[19] A. Schrijver and P. Seymour, *A simpler proof and a generalization of the zero-trees theorem*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 58 (1991), 301-305.

[20] A. Schultz, *On a modification of a problem of Bialostocki-Erdős-Lefmann*. Manuscript, 2002.

[21] B. Wong, *A generalization of the Bialostocki-Erdős-Lefmann theorem*. Manuscript, 2002.