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ABSTRACT. If \( \mathcal{D} \) is a Reedy category and \( \mathcal{M} \) is a model category, the category \( \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{D}} \) of \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagrams in \( \mathcal{M} \) is a model category under the Reedy model category structure. If \( \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) is a Reedy functor between Reedy categories, then there is an induced functor of diagram categories \( \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \). Our main result is a characterization of the Reedy functors \( \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) that induce right or left Quillen functors \( \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \) for every model category \( \mathcal{M} \). We apply these results to various situations, and in particular show that certain important subdiagrams of a fibrant multicosimplicial object are fibrant.
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1. Introduction

The interesting functors between model categories are the left Quillen functors and right Quillen functors (see [H1, Def. 8.5.2]). In this paper, we study Quillen functors between diagram categories with the Reedy model category structure (see Theorem 2.5).

In more detail, if $\mathcal{C}$ is a Reedy category (see Definition 2.1) and $\mathcal{M}$ is a model category, then there is a Reedy model category structure on the category $\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{C}$-diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$ (see Definition 2.3 and Theorem 2.5). The original (and most well known) examples of Reedy model category structures are the model categories of cosimplicial objects in a model category and of simplicial objects in a model category.

Any functor $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ between Reedy categories induces a functor $G^*: \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}$ of diagram categories (see Definition 2.11), and it is important to know when such a functor $G^*$ is a left or a right Quillen functor, since, for example, a right Quillen functor takes fibrant objects to fibrant objects, and takes weak equivalences between fibrant objects to weak equivalences (see Proposition 2.25). The results in this paper provide a complete characterization of the Reedy functors (functors between Reedy categories that preserve the structure; see Definition 2.10) between diagram categories for which this is the case for all model categories $\mathcal{M}$.

To be clear, we point out that for any Reedy functor $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ there exist model categories $\mathcal{M}$ such that the induced functor $G^*: \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}$ is a (right or left) Quillen functor. For example, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a model category in which the weak equivalences are the isomorphisms of $\mathcal{M}$ and all maps of $\mathcal{M}$ are both cofibrations and fibrations, then every Reedy functor $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ induces a right Quillen functor $G^*: \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}$ (which is also a left Quillen functor). In this paper, we characterize those Reedy functors that induce right Quillen functors for all model categories $\mathcal{M}$. More precisely, we have:

**Theorem 1.1.** If $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a Reedy functor (see Definition 2.10), then the induced functor of diagram categories $G^*: \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}$ is a right Quillen functor for every model category $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if $G$ is a fibering Reedy functor (see Definition 2.15).

We also have a dual result:

**Theorem 1.2.** If $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a Reedy functor, then the induced functor of diagram categories $G^*: \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}$ is a left Quillen functor for every model category $\mathcal{M}$ if and only if $G$ is a cofibering Reedy functor (see Definition 2.15).

In an attempt to make these results accessible to a more general audience, we’ve included a description of some background material that is well known to the experts. The structure of the paper is as follows: We provide some background on Reedy categories and functors in Section 2 including discussions of filtrations, opposites, Quillen functors, and cofinality. The only new content for this part is in Section 2.3 where we define inverse and direct $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations and (co)fibering Reedy functors, and prove some results about them. We then discuss several examples and applications of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in Section 3. More precisely, we look at the subdiagrams given by truncations, diagrams defined as skeleta, and
three kinds of subdiagrams determined by (co)simplicial and multi(co)simplicial diagrams: restricted (co)simplicial objects, diagonals of multi(co)simplicial objects, and slices of multi(co)simplicial objects. We then finally present the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in Section 4. Theorem 1.1 will follow immediately from Theorem 4.1, which is its slight elaboration. Theorem 1.2 can be proved by dualizing the proof of Theorem 1.1 but we will instead derive it in Section 4.5 from Theorem 1.1 and a careful discussion of opposite categories.

Lastly, it should be noted that a version of the main result in this paper was also proved by different methods in [B1].

2. Reedy model category structures

In this section, we give the definitions and results needed for the statements and proofs of our theorems. We assume the reader is familiar with the basic language of model categories. The material here is standard, with the exception of Section 2.3 where the key notions for characterizing Quillen functors between Reedy model categories are introduced (Definition 2.12 and Definition 2.15).

2.1. Reedy categories and their diagram categories.

Definition 2.1. A Reedy category is a small category $\mathcal{C}$ together with two subcategories $\mathcal{C}^\to$ (the direct subcategory) and $\mathcal{C}^\leftarrow$ (the inverse subcategory), both of which contain all the objects of $\mathcal{C}$, in which every object can be assigned a nonnegative integer (called its degree) such that

1. Every non-identity map of $\mathcal{C}^\to$ raises degree.
2. Every non-identity map of $\mathcal{C}^\leftarrow$ lowers degree.
3. Every map $g$ in $\mathcal{C}$ has a unique factorization $g = g^\leftarrow g^\to$ where $g^\leftarrow$ is in $\mathcal{C}^\leftarrow$ and $g^\to$ is in $\mathcal{C}^\to$.

Remark 2.2. The function that assigns to every object of a Reedy category its degree is not a part of the structure, but we will generally assume that such a degree function has been chosen.

Definition 2.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Reedy category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a model category.

1. A $\mathcal{C}$-diagram in $\mathcal{M}$ is a functor from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{M}$.
2. The category $\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{C}$-diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$ is the category with objects the functors from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{M}$ and with morphisms the natural transformations of such functors.

In order to describe the Reedy model category structure on the diagram category $\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}$ in Theorem 2.5, we first define the latching maps and matching maps of a $\mathcal{C}$-diagram in $\mathcal{M}$ as follows.

Definition 2.4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Reedy category, let $\mathcal{M}$ be a model category, let $X$ and $Y$ be $\mathcal{C}$-diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$, let $f: X \to Y$ be a map of diagrams, and let $\alpha$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}$.

1. The latching category $\partial(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \alpha)$ of $\mathcal{C}$ at $\alpha$ is the full subcategory of $(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \alpha)$ (the category of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ over $\alpha$; see [H1 Def. 11.8.1]) containing all of the objects except the identity map of $\alpha$. 
The latching object of $X$ at $\alpha$ is
$$L_\alpha X = \text{colim} \ X \downarrow \alpha$$
and the latching map of $X$ at $\alpha$ is the natural map
$$L_\alpha X \longrightarrow X_\alpha .$$
We will use $L^0_\alpha X$ to denote the latching object if the indexing category is not obvious.

The relative latching map of $f : X \rightarrow Y$ at $\alpha$ is the natural map
$$X_\alpha \amalg_{L_\alpha X} L_\alpha Y \longrightarrow Y_\alpha .$$

The matching category $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{C}$ at $\alpha$ is the full subcategory of $(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ (the category of objects of $\mathcal{C}$ under $\alpha$; see [H1, Def. 11.8.3]) containing all of the objects except the identity map of $\alpha$.

The matching object of $X$ at $\alpha$ is
$$M_\alpha X = \text{lim} \ X \downarrow \alpha$$
and the matching map of $X$ at $\alpha$ is the natural map
$$X_\alpha \longrightarrow M_\alpha X .$$
We will use $M^0_\alpha X$ to denote the matching object if the indexing category is not obvious.

The relative matching map of $f : X \rightarrow Y$ at $\alpha$ is the map
$$X_\alpha \longrightarrow Y_\alpha \times_{M_\alpha Y} M_\alpha X .$$

Theorem 2.5 ([H1, Def. 15.3.3 and Thm. 15.3.4]). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Reedy category and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a model category. There is a model category structure on the category $\mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{C}$-diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$, called the Reedy model category structure, in which a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of $\mathcal{C}$-diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$ is

- a weak equivalence if for every object $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{C}$ the map $f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{M}$,
- a cofibration if for every object $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{C}$ the relative latching map $X_\alpha \amalg_{L_\alpha X} L_\alpha Y \rightarrow Y_\alpha$ (see Definition 2.4) is a cofibration in $\mathcal{M}$, and
- a fibration if for every object $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{C}$ the relative matching map $X_\alpha \rightarrow Y_\alpha \times_{M_\alpha Y} M_\alpha X$ (see Definition 2.4) is a fibration in $\mathcal{M}$.

We also record the following standard result; we will have use for it in the proof of Proposition 4.20.

Proposition 2.6. If $\mathcal{M}$ is a category and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a map in $\mathcal{M}$, then $f$ is an isomorphism if and only if it induces an isomorphism of the sets of maps $f_* : \mathcal{M}(W, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(W, Y)$ for every object $W$ of $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof. If $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is an inverse for $f$, then $g_* : \mathcal{M}(W, Y) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(W, X)$ is an inverse for $f_*$. Conversely, if $f_* : \mathcal{M}(W, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(W, Y)$ is an isomorphism for every object $W$ of $\mathcal{M}$, then $f_* : \mathcal{M}(Y, X) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(Y, Y)$ is an epimorphism, and so there is a map $g : Y \rightarrow X$ such that $fg = 1_Y$. We then have two maps $gf, 1_X : X \rightarrow X$, and
$$f_*(gf) = fg = 1_Y f = f = f_*(1_X) .$$
Since \( f_* : \mathcal{M}(X, X) \to \mathcal{M}(X, Y) \) is a monomorphism, this implies that \( gf = 1_X \). \( \square \)

### 2.2. Filtrations of Reedy categories

The notion of a filtration of a Reedy category will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.3.

**Definition 2.7.** If \( \mathcal{C} \) is a Reedy category (with a chosen degree function) and \( n \) is a nonnegative integer, the \( n \text{th filtration} \mathcal{F}_n \mathcal{C} \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) (also called the \( n \text{th truncation} \mathcal{C} \leq n \) of \( \mathcal{C} \)) is the full subcategory of \( \mathcal{C} \) with objects the objects of \( \mathcal{C} \) of degree at most \( n \).

The following is a direct consequence of the definitions.

**Proposition 2.8.** If \( \mathcal{C} \) is a Reedy category then each of its filtrations \( \mathcal{F}_n \mathcal{C} \) is a Reedy category with \( \leftarrow \mathcal{F}_n \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F}_n \mathcal{C} \) and \( \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_n \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{F}_n \mathcal{C} \), and \( \mathcal{C} \) equals the union of the increasing sequence of subcategories \( \mathcal{F}_0 \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{F}_2 \mathcal{C} \subset \cdots \).

The following will be used in the proof of Theorem 4.3 (which is one direction of Theorem 1.1).

**Proposition 2.9.** ([H1, Thm. 15.2.1 and Cor. 15.2.9]). For \( n > 0 \), extending a diagram \( X \) on \( \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \mathcal{D} \) to one on \( \mathcal{F}_n \mathcal{D} \) consists of choosing, for every object \( \gamma \) of degree \( n \), an object \( X_\gamma \) and a factorization \( L_\gamma X \to X_\gamma \to M_\gamma X \) of the natural map \( L_\gamma X \to M_\gamma X \) from the latching object of \( X \) at \( \gamma \) to the matching object of \( X \) at \( \gamma \).

### 2.3. Reedy functors

In Definition 2.10 we introduce the notion of a Reedy functor between Reedy categories; this is a functor that preserves the Reedy structure.

**Definition 2.10.** If \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \) are Reedy categories, then a **Reedy functor** \( G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) is a functor that takes \( \leftarrow \mathcal{C} \) into \( \leftarrow \mathcal{D} \) and takes \( \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \) into \( \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \). If \( \mathcal{D} \) is a Reedy category, then a **Reedy subcategory** of \( \mathcal{D} \) is a subcategory \( \mathcal{C} \) of \( \mathcal{D} \) that is a Reedy category for which the inclusion functor \( \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) is a Reedy functor.

Note that a Reedy functor is not required to respect the filtrations on the Reedy categories \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \) (see Definition 2.7). Thus, a Reedy functor might take non-identity maps to identity maps (see, e.g., Proposition 4.20).

**Definition 2.11.** If \( G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) is a Reedy functor between Reedy categories and \( \mathcal{M} \) is a model category, then \( G \) induces a functor of diagram categories \( G^* : \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C} \) under which

- a functor \( X : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M} \) goes to the functor \( G^* X : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{M} \) that is the composition \( \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{G} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{M} \) (so that for an object \( \alpha \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) we have \( (G^* X)_\alpha = X_{G\alpha} \)) and
- a natural transformation of \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagrams \( f : X \to Y \) goes to the natural transformation of \( \mathcal{C} \)-diagrams \( G^* f \) that on a map \( \sigma : \alpha \to \beta \) of \( \mathcal{C} \) is the map \( (G\sigma)_* : X_{G\alpha} \to Y_{G\alpha} \) in \( \mathcal{M} \).

The main results of this paper (Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2) determine when the functor \( G^* : \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C} \) is either a left Quillen functor or a right Quillen functor for all model categories \( \mathcal{M} \). The characterizations will depend on the notions of the category of inverse \( \mathcal{C} \)-factorizations of a map in \( \mathcal{D} \) and the category of direct \( \mathcal{C} \)-factorizations of a map in \( \mathcal{D} \).
Definition 2.12. Let $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a Reedy functor between Reedy categories, let $\alpha$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\beta$ be an object of $\mathcal{D}$.

(1) If $\sigma: G\alpha \to \beta$ is a map in $\mathcal{D}$, then the category of inverse $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$ is the category $\text{Fact}_{\leftarrow \mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \sigma)$ in which

- an object is a pair

$$((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma), (\mu: G\gamma \to \beta))$$

consisting of a non-identity map $\nu: \alpha \to \gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and a map $\mu: G\gamma \to \beta$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G\alpha & \xrightarrow{G\nu} & G\gamma \\
\sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu \\
\beta & \xleftarrow{\mu} & \beta
\end{array}$$

commutes, and

- a map from $((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma), (\mu: G\gamma \to \beta))$ to $((\nu': \alpha \to \gamma'), (\mu': G\gamma' \to \beta))$ is a map $\tau: \gamma \to \gamma'$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that the triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \gamma' \\
\tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\
\gamma & \xleftarrow{\tau} & \gamma'
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G\gamma & \xrightarrow{G\tau} & G\gamma' \\
\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu' \\
G\gamma & \xleftarrow{\mu} & G\gamma'
\end{array}$$

commute.

We will often refer just to the map $\sigma$ when the object $\alpha$ is obvious. In particular, when $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is the inclusion of a subcategory the object $\alpha$ is determined by the morphism $\sigma$, and we will often refer to the category of inverse $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $\sigma$.

(2) If $\sigma: \beta \to G\alpha$ is a map in $\mathcal{D}$, then the category of direct $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$ is the category $\text{Fact}_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \sigma)$ in which

- an object is a pair

$$((\nu: \gamma \to \alpha), (\mu: \beta \to G\gamma))$$

consisting of a non-identity map $\nu: \gamma \to \alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and a map $\mu: \beta \to G\gamma$ in $\mathcal{D}$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\beta & \xrightarrow{\mu} & G\gamma \\
\sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow G\nu \\
G\alpha & \xleftarrow{G\nu} & G\alpha
\end{array}$$

commutes, and

- a map from $((\nu: \gamma \to \alpha), (\mu: \beta \to G\gamma))$ to $((\nu': \gamma \to \alpha), (\mu': \beta \to G\gamma'))$ is a map $\tau: \gamma \to \gamma'$ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that the triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \gamma' \\
\tau \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\
\gamma & \xleftarrow{\tau} & \gamma'
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G\gamma & \xrightarrow{G\tau} & G\gamma' \\
\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu' \\
G\gamma & \xleftarrow{\mu} & G\gamma'
\end{array}$$

commute.

We will often refer just to the map $\sigma$ when the object $\alpha$ is obvious. In particular, when $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is the inclusion of a subcategory the object $\alpha$ is determined by the morphism $\sigma$, and we will often refer to the category of direct $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $\sigma$.
Proposition 2.13. Let $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a Reedy functor between Reedy categories, let $\alpha$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}$, and let $\beta$ be an object of $\mathcal{D}$.

1. If $\sigma: G\alpha \to \beta$ is a map in $\mathcal{D}$, then we have an induced functor

$$G_*: \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to (G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$$

from the matching category of $\mathcal{C}$ at $\alpha$ to the category of objects of $\mathcal{D}$ over $G\alpha$ that takes the object $\alpha \to \gamma$ of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ to the object $G\alpha \to G\gamma$ of $(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$, and the category Fact$_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \sigma)$ of inverse $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$ (see Definition 2.12) is the category $(G_* \downarrow \sigma)$ of objects of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ over $\sigma$.

2. If $\sigma: \beta \to G\alpha$ is a map in $\mathcal{D}$, then we have an induced functor

$$G_*: \partial(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \alpha) \to (\mathcal{D} \downarrow G\alpha)$$

from the latching category of $\mathcal{C}$ at $\alpha$ to the category of objects of $\mathcal{D}$ over $G\alpha$ that takes the object $\gamma \to \alpha$ of $\partial(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \alpha)$ to the object $G\gamma \to G\alpha$ of $(\mathcal{D} \downarrow G\alpha)$, and the category Fact$_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \sigma)$ of direct $\mathcal{D}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$ is the category $(\sigma \downarrow G_* \downarrow \alpha)$ of objects of $\partial(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \alpha)$ under $\sigma$.

Proof. We will prove part 1; the proof of part 2 is similar. An object of $(G_* \downarrow \sigma)$ is a pair $((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma), (\mu: G\gamma \to \beta))$ where $\nu: \alpha \to \gamma$ is an object of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ and $\mu: G\gamma \to \beta$ is a map in $\mathcal{D}$ that makes the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G\gamma & \xrightarrow{G\alpha} & \beta \\
\nu \downarrow & & \mu \downarrow \\
\alpha & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & \beta
\end{array}$$

commute. A map from $((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma), (\mu: G\gamma \to \beta))$ to $((\nu': \alpha \to \gamma'), (\mu': G\gamma' \to \beta))$ is a map $\tau: \gamma \to \gamma'$ in $\mathcal{C}$ that makes the triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma & \xrightarrow{\nu} & \gamma' \\
\tau \downarrow & & \tau \downarrow \\
\alpha & \xrightarrow{\nu'} & \beta
\end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
G\gamma & \xrightarrow{G\tau} & G\gamma' \\
\mu \downarrow & & \mu \downarrow \\
G\beta & \xrightarrow{\nu'} & G\beta
\end{array}$$

commute. This is exactly the definition of the category of inverse $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$. \qed

Proposition 2.14. Let $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ be Reedy categories, let $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a Reedy functor, and let $\alpha$ be an object of $\mathcal{C}$.

1. If $G$ takes every non-identity map $\alpha \to \gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}$ to a non-identity map in $\mathcal{D}$, then there is an induced functor of matching categories

$$G_*: \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to \partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$$

(see Definition 2.4) that takes the object $\sigma: \alpha \to \gamma$ of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ to the object $G\sigma: G\alpha \to G\gamma$ of $\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$. If $\beta$ is an object of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\sigma: G\alpha \to \beta$ is a map in $\mathcal{D}$, then the category Fact$_{\mathcal{C}}(\alpha, \sigma)$ of inverse $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$ (see Definition 2.12) is the category $(G_* \downarrow \sigma)$ of objects of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ over $\sigma$.\nopagebreak
(2) If $G$ takes every non-identity map $\gamma \to \alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}$ to a non-identity map in $\mathcal{D}$, then there is an induced functor of latching categories $G_* : \partial(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \alpha) \to \partial(\mathcal{D} \downarrow \alpha)$ (see Definition 2.14) that takes the object $\sigma : \gamma \to \alpha$ of $\partial(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \alpha)$ to the object $G\sigma : G\gamma \to G\alpha$ of $\partial(\mathcal{D} \downarrow \alpha)$. If $\beta$ is an object of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\sigma : \beta \to G\alpha$ is a map in $\mathcal{D}$, then the category $\text{Fact}_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \sigma)$ of direct $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$ is the category $(\sigma \downarrow G_*)$ of objects of $\partial(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \alpha)$ under $\sigma$.

**Proof.** This is identical to the proof of Proposition 2.13 except that the requirement that certain non-identity maps go to non-identity maps ensures (in part 1) that the functor $G_* : \partial(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \alpha) \to \partial(\mathcal{D} \downarrow G\alpha)$ factors through the subcategory $\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ of $(\mathcal{D} \downarrow G\alpha)$ and (in part 2) that the functor $G_* : \partial(\mathcal{C} \downarrow \alpha) \to (\mathcal{D} \downarrow G\alpha)$ factors through the subcategory $\partial(\mathcal{D} \downarrow G\alpha)$ of $(\mathcal{D} \downarrow G\alpha)$.

The following is the main definition of this section; it is used in the statements of our main theorems (Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2).

**Definition 2.15.** Let $G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be a Reedy functor between Reedy categories.

1. The Reedy functor $G$ is a fibering Reedy functor if for every object $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}$, every object $\beta$ in $\mathcal{D}$, and every map $\sigma : G\alpha \to \beta$ in $\mathcal{D}$, the nerve of $\text{Fact}_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \sigma)$, the category of inverse $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$, (see Definition 2.12) is either empty or connected.

   If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Reedy subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ and if the inclusion is a fibering Reedy functor, then we will call $\mathcal{C}$ a fibering Reedy subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$.

2. The Reedy functor $G$ is a cofibering Reedy functor if for every object $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{C}$, every object $\beta$ in $\mathcal{D}$, and every map $\sigma : \beta \to G\alpha$ in $\mathcal{D}$, the nerve of $\text{Fact}_{\mathcal{D}}(\alpha, \sigma)$, the category of direct $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$, (see Definition 2.12) is either empty or connected.

   If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Reedy subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$ and if the inclusion is a cofibering Reedy functor, then we will call $\mathcal{C}$ a cofibering Reedy subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$.

Examples of fibering Reedy functors and of cofibering Reedy functors (and of Reedy functors that are not fibering and Reedy functors that are not cofibering) are given in Section 3.

2.4. Opposites. The results in this section will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 which can be found in Section 4.5.

**Proposition 2.16.** If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Reedy category, then the opposite category $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ is a Reedy category in which $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} = (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} = (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}}$.

**Proof.** A degree function for $\mathcal{C}$ will serve as a degree function for $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$, and factorizations $\sigma = \tau\mu$ in $\mathcal{C}$ with $\mu \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\tau \in \mathcal{C}$ correspond to factorizations $\sigma^{\text{op}} = \mu^{\text{op}}\tau^{\text{op}}$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ with $\mu^{\text{op}} \in (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ and $\tau^{\text{op}} \in (\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$.

**Proposition 2.17.** If $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are Reedy categories, then a functor $G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ is a Reedy functor if and only if its opposite $G^{\text{op}} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \to \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$ is a Reedy functor.

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 2.16. 

□
Lemma 2.18. Let $G : C \to D$ be a Reedy functor between Reedy categories, let $\alpha$ be an object of $C$, and let $\beta$ be an object of $D$.

(1) If $\sigma : G\alpha \to \beta$ is a map in $\widehat{D}$, then the opposite of the category of inverse $C$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$ is the category of direct $C^{\op}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma^{\op} : \beta \to G\alpha)$ in $D^{\op}$.

(2) If $\sigma : \beta \to G\alpha$ is a map in $\widehat{D}$, then the opposite of the category of direct $C$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma)$ is the category of inverse $C^{\op}$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma^{\op} : G\alpha \to \beta)$ in $D^{\op}$.

Proof. We will prove part (1); part (2) will then follow from applying part (1) to $\sigma^{\op} : G\alpha \to \beta$ in $C^{\op}$ and remembering that $(C^{\op})^{\op} = C$ and $(D^{\op})^{\op} = D$.

Let $\sigma : G\alpha \to \beta$ be a map in $\widehat{D}$. Recall from Definition 2.12 that

- an object of the category of inverse $C$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \sigma : G\alpha \to \beta)$ is a pair
  $$((\nu : \alpha \to \gamma), (\mu : G\gamma \to \beta))$$
  consisting of a non-identity map $\nu : \alpha \to \gamma$ in $\widehat{C}$ and a map $\mu : G\gamma \to \beta$ in $\widehat{D}$ such that the composition $G\alpha \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\op}} G\gamma \xrightarrow{\beta} \beta$ equals $\sigma$, and
- a map from $((\nu : \alpha \to \gamma), (\mu : G\gamma \to \beta))$ to $((\nu' : \alpha \to \gamma'), (\mu' : G\gamma' \to \beta))$ is a map $\tau : \gamma \to \gamma'$ in $\widehat{C}$ such that the triangles
  $$\begin{array}{ccc}
  \gamma & \xrightarrow{\tau} & \gamma' \\
  \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu' \\
  \gamma & \xrightarrow{\tau \gamma} & \gamma'
  \end{array}$$
  and
  $$\begin{array}{ccc}
  \gamma & \xrightarrow{\tau \gamma} & \gamma' \\
  \mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu' \\
  \gamma & \xrightarrow{\mu \gamma} & \gamma'
  \end{array}$$
  commute.

The opposite of this category has the same objects, but

- a non-identity map $\nu : \alpha \to \gamma$ in $\widehat{C}$ is equivalently a non-identity map $\nu^{\op} : \gamma \to \alpha$ in $(\widehat{C})^{\op} = \widehat{C^{\op}}$, and
- a factorization $G\alpha \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\op}} G\gamma \xrightarrow{\beta} \beta$ of $\sigma$ such that $\mu \in \widehat{D}$ is equivalently a factorization $\beta \xrightarrow{\mu^{\op}} G\gamma \xrightarrow{\sigma^{\op}, G\gamma} G\alpha$ of $\sigma^{\op} : \beta \to G\alpha$ in $(\widehat{D})^{\op} = \widehat{D^{\op}}$.

Thus, the opposite category can be described as the category in which

- An object is a pair
  $$((\nu^{\op} : \gamma \to \alpha), (\mu^{\op} : \beta \to G\gamma))$$
  consisting of a non-identity map $\nu^{\op} : \gamma \to \alpha$ in $(\widehat{C})^{\op} = \widehat{C^{\op}}$ and a map $\mu^{\op} : \beta \to G\gamma$ in $(\widehat{D})^{\op} = \widehat{D^{\op}}$ such that the composition $\beta \xrightarrow{\mu^{\op}} G\gamma \xrightarrow{G\mu^{\op}} G\alpha$ equals $\sigma^{\op}$, and
- a map from $((\nu^{\op} : \gamma \to \alpha), (\mu^{\op} : \beta \to G\gamma))$ to $((\nu'^{\op} : \gamma' \to \alpha), (\mu'^{\op} : \beta \to G\gamma'))$ is a map $\tau^{\op} : \gamma' \to \gamma$ in $(\widehat{C})^{\op} = \widehat{C^{\op}}$ such that the triangles
  $$\begin{array}{ccc}
  \gamma & \xrightarrow{\tau^{\op} \gamma} & \gamma' \\
  \nu^{\op} \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu'^{\op} \\
  \gamma & \xrightarrow{\tau^{\op} \gamma} & \gamma'
  \end{array}$$
  and
  $$\begin{array}{ccc}
  \gamma & \xrightarrow{\tau^{\op} \gamma} & \gamma' \\
  \mu^{\op} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu'^{\op} \\
  \gamma & \xrightarrow{\mu^{\op} \gamma} & \gamma'
  \end{array}$$
  commute.
This is exactly the category of direct \( C^{op}\)-factorizations of \((\alpha, \sigma^{op}: \beta \to G\alpha)\) in \( D^{op}\).

\[\square\]

**Proposition 2.19.** If \( G: C \to D \) is a Reedy functor between Reedy categories, then \( G \) is a fibering Reedy functor if and only if \( G^{op}: C^{op} \to D^{op} \) is a cofibering Reedy functor.

**Proof.** Since the nerve of a category is empty or connected if and only if the nerve of the opposite category is, respectively, empty or connected, this follows from Lemma 2.18. \(\square\)

**Lemma 2.20.** Let \( X \) be a \( C \)-diagram in \( M \) (which can also be viewed as a \( C^{op} \)-diagram in \( M^{op} \)), and let \( \alpha \) be an object of \( C \).

1. The latching object \( L^{\alpha}_C X \) of \( X \) as a \( C \)-diagram in \( M \) at \( \alpha \) is the matching object \( M^{\alpha}_{C^{op}} \) of \( X \) as a \( C^{op} \)-diagram in \( M^{op} \) at \( \alpha \), and the opposite of the latching map \( L^{\alpha}_C X \to X \) of \( X \) as a \( C \)-diagram in \( M \) at \( \alpha \) is the matching map \( X \to M^{\alpha}_{C^{op}} X \) of \( X \) as a \( C^{op} \)-diagram in \( M^{op} \) at \( \alpha \).
2. The matching object \( M^{\alpha}_{C^{op}} X \) of \( X \) as a \( C \)-diagram in \( M \) at \( \alpha \) is the latching object \( L^{\alpha}_{C^{op}} \) of \( X \) as a \( C^{op} \)-diagram in \( M^{op} \) at \( \alpha \), and the opposite of the matching map \( X \to M^{\alpha}_{C^{op}} X \) of \( X \) as a \( C \)-diagram in \( M \) at \( \alpha \) is the latching map \( L^{\alpha}_{C^{op}} X = M^{\alpha}_{C^{op}} X \to X \) of \( X \) as a \( C^{op} \)-diagram in \( M^{op} \) at \( \alpha \).

**Proof.** We will prove part 1; part 2 then follows by applying part 1 to the \( C^{op} \)-diagram \( X \) in \( M^{op} \) and remembering that \((C^{op})^{op} = C\) and \((M^{op})^{op} = M\).

The latching object \( L^{\alpha}_C X \) of \( X \) at \( \alpha \) is the colimit of the diagram in \( M \) with an object \( X_{\beta} \) for every non-identity map \( \sigma: \beta \to \alpha \) in \( \overset{\to}{C} \) and a map \( \mu_{\alpha}: X_{\beta} \to X_{\gamma} \) for every commutative triangle

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\beta \\
\alpha \\
\sigma \\
\mu \\
\tau \\
\gamma
\end{array}
\]

in \( \overset{\to}{C} \) in which \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) are non-identity maps. Thus, \( L^{\alpha}_C X \) can also be described as the limit of the diagram in \( M^{op} \) with one object \( X_{\beta} \) for every non-identity map \( \sigma^{op}: \alpha \to \beta \) in \( (\overset{\to}{C})^{op} = \overset{\to}{C^{op}} \) and a map \( (\mu^{op})_{\alpha}: X_{\gamma} \to X_{\beta} \) for every commutative triangle

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\beta \\
\alpha \\
\sigma^{op} \\
\mu^{op} \\
\tau^{op} \\
\gamma
\end{array}
\]

in \( (\overset{\to}{C})^{op} = \overset{\to}{C^{op}} \) in which \( \sigma^{op} \) and \( \tau^{op} \) are non-identity maps. Thus, \( L^{\alpha}_C X = M^{\alpha}_{C^{op}} X \).

The latching map \( L^{\alpha}_C X \to X_{\alpha} \) is the unique map in \( M \) such that for every non-identity map \( \sigma: \beta \to \alpha \) in \( \overset{\to}{C} \) the triangle

\[
\begin{array}{c}
X_{\alpha} \\
\sigma \\
X_{\beta} \\
\mu \\
L^{\alpha}_C X
\end{array}
\]

commutes, and so the opposite of the latching map is the unique map \( X_{\alpha} \to L^{\alpha}_C X = M^{\alpha}_{C^{op}} X \) in \( M^{op} \) such that for every non-identity map \( \sigma^{op}: \alpha \to \beta \) in \( (\overset{\to}{C})^{op} = \overset{\to}{C^{op}} \).
the triangle

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
X_{\alpha} & \xrightarrow{f_{\alpha}} & X_{\beta} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M_{\alpha}^{\text{op}} & \leftarrow & M_{\alpha}^{\text{op}} \end{array} \]

commutes, i.e., the opposite of the latching map of \( X \) at \( \alpha \) in \( \mathcal{C} \) is the matching map of \( X \) at \( \alpha \) in \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \).

**Lemma 2.21.** Let \( f: X \to Y \) be a map of \( \mathcal{C} \)-diagrams in \( \mathcal{M} \) and let \( \alpha \) be an object of \( \mathcal{C} \).

1. The opposite of the relative latching map (see Definition 2.4) of \( f \) at \( \alpha \) is the relative matching map of the map \( f^{\text{op}}: Y \to X \) of \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \)-diagrams in \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \) at \( \alpha \).

2. The opposite of the relative matching map (see Definition 2.4) of \( f \) at \( \alpha \) is the relative latching map of the map \( f^{\text{op}}: Y \to X \) of \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \)-diagrams in \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \) at \( \alpha \).

**Proof.** We will prove part (1); part (2) then follows by applying part (1) to the map of \( \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \)-diagrams \( f^{\text{op}}: Y \to X \) in \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \) and remembering that \((\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{C}\) and \((\mathcal{M}^{\text{op}})^{\text{op}} = \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}}\).

If \( P = X_{\alpha} \amalg_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}\mathcal{C}} X_{\alpha} \amalg_{\mathcal{L}_{\alpha}\mathcal{C}} Y_{\alpha} \), then the relative latching map is the unique map \( P \to Y_{\alpha} \) that makes the diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
L_{\alpha}^C X & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^C} & L_{\alpha}^C Y \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
X_{\alpha} & \rightarrow & Y_{\alpha} \\
\mathcal{P} & \mathcal{L}_{\alpha} & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{\text{op}} \end{array} \]

commute. The opposite of that diagram is the diagram

\[ \begin{array}{ccc}
M_{\alpha}^{\text{op}} X & \xleftarrow{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{\text{op}}} & X_{\alpha} \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
Y_{\alpha} & \rightarrow & Y_{\alpha} \\
\mathcal{P} & \mathcal{L}_{\alpha} & \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{\text{op}} \end{array} \]

in \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \) (see Lemma 2.20), in which \( P = X_{\alpha} \amalg_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{\text{op}}} X_{\alpha} \amalg_{\mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{\text{op}}} Y_{\alpha} \), and the opposite of the relative latching map is the unique map in \( \mathcal{M}^{\text{op}} \) that makes this diagram commute, i.e., it is the relative matching map.

**Proposition 2.22.** If \( \mathcal{M} \) is a model category and \( \mathcal{C} \) is a Reedy category, then the opposite \((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}}\) of the Reedy model category \( \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \) (see Definition 2.3) is naturally isomorphic as a model category to the Reedy model category \((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}}\)^{\text{op}}.

**Proof.** The opposite \((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}}\) of \( \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \) is a model category in which

- the cofibrations of \((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}}\) are the opposites of the fibrations of \( \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \),
- the fibrations of \((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}}\) are the opposites of the cofibrations of \( \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \), and
- the weak equivalences of \((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}}\) are the opposites of the weak equivalences of \( \mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}} \).

Proposition 2.16 implies that we have a Reedy model category structure on \((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}}\)^{\text{op}}. The objects and maps of \((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}}\) coincide with those of \((\mathcal{M}^{\mathcal{C}})^{\text{op}}\)^{\text{op}}, and so we need only show that the model category structures coincide. This follows because the
opposites of the objectwise weak equivalences of $\mathcal{M}^C$ are the objectwise weak equivalences of $(\mathcal{M}^{op})^{C_{en}}$, and Lemma 2.21 implies that the opposites of the cofibrations of $\mathcal{M}^C$ are the fibrations of $(\mathcal{M}^{op})^{C_{en}}$ and that the opposites of the fibrations of $\mathcal{M}^C$ are the cofibrations of $(\mathcal{M}^{op})^{C_{en}}$ (see Theorem 2.5).

### 2.5. Quillen functors.

**Definition 2.23.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be model categories and let $G : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N} : U$ be a pair of adjoint functors. The functor $G$ is a left Quillen functor and the functor $U$ is a right Quillen functor if

- the left adjoint $G$ preserves both cofibrations and trivial cofibrations, and
- the right adjoint $U$ preserves both fibrations and trivial fibrations.

**Proposition 2.24.** If $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are model categories and $G : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N} : U$ is a pair of adjoint functors, then the following are equivalent:

1. The left adjoint $G$ is a left Quillen functor and the right adjoint $U$ is a right Quillen functor.
2. The left adjoint $G$ preserves both cofibrations and trivial cofibrations.
3. The right adjoint $U$ preserves both fibrations and trivial fibrations.

**Proof.** This is [H1, Prop. 8.5.3].

**Proposition 2.25.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ be model categories and let $G : \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N} : U$ be a pair of adjoint functors.

1. If $G$ is a left Quillen functor, then $G$ takes cofibrant objects of $\mathcal{M}$ to cofibrant objects of $\mathcal{N}$ and takes weak equivalences between cofibrant objects in $\mathcal{M}$ to weak equivalences between cofibrant objects of $\mathcal{N}$.
2. If $U$ is a right Quillen functor, then $U$ takes fibrant objects of $\mathcal{N}$ to fibrant objects of $\mathcal{M}$ and takes weak equivalences between fibrant objects in $\mathcal{N}$ to weak equivalences between fibrant objects of $\mathcal{M}$.

**Proof.** Since left adjoints take initial objects to initial objects, if the left adjoint $G$ takes cofibrations to cofibrations then it takes cofibrant objects to cofibrant objects. The statement about weak equivalences follows from [H1, Cor. 7.7.2].

Dually, since right adjoints take terminal objects to terminal objects, if the right adjoint $U$ takes fibrations to fibrations then it takes fibrant objects to fibrant objects. The statement about weak equivalences follows from [H1, Cor. 7.7.2].

**Proposition 2.26.** A functor between model categories $G : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ is a left Quillen functor if and only if its opposite $G^{op} : \mathcal{M}^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{op}$ is a right Quillen functor.

**Proof.** This follows because the cofibrations and trivial cofibrations of $\mathcal{M}^{op}$ are the opposites of the fibrations and trivial fibrations, respectively, of $\mathcal{M}$ and the fibrations and trivial fibrations of $\mathcal{M}^{op}$ are the opposites of the cofibrations and trivial cofibrations, respectively, of $\mathcal{M}$ (with a similar statement for $\mathcal{N}$).

### 2.6. Cofinality.

**Definition 2.27.** Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be small categories and let $G : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a functor.

- The functor $G$ is left cofinal (or initial) if for every object $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{B}$ the nerve $N(G \downarrow \alpha)$ of the overcategory $(G \downarrow \alpha)$ is non-empty and connected. If in addition $G$ is the inclusion of a subcategory, then we will say that $\mathcal{A}$ is a left cofinal subcategory (or initial subcategory) of $\mathcal{B}$.
The functor $G$ is right cofinal (or terminal) if for every object $\alpha$ of $B$ the nerve $N(\alpha \downarrow G)$ of the undercategory $(\alpha \downarrow G)$ is non-empty and connected. If in addition $G$ is the inclusion of a subcategory, then we will say that $A$ is a right cofinal subcategory (or terminal subcategory) of $B$.

For the proof of the following, see [H1, Thm. 14.2.5].

**Theorem 2.28.** Let $A$ and $B$ be small categories and let $G: A \to B$ be a functor.

1. The functor $G$ is left cofinal if and only if for every complete category $M$ (i.e., every category in which all small limits exist) and every diagram $X: B \to M$ the natural map $\lim_{B} X \to \lim_{A} G^{*} X$ is an isomorphism.

2. The functor $G$ is right cofinal if and only if for every cocomplete category $M$ (i.e., every category in which all small colimits exist) and every diagram $X: B \to M$ the natural map $\colim_{A} G^{*} X \to \colim_{B} X$ is an isomorphism.

### 3. Examples

In this section, we present various examples to illustrate Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2.

#### 3.1. A Reedy functor that is not fibering

The following is an example of a Reedy subcategory that is not fibering.

**Example 3.1.** Let $D$ be the category

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma & \alpha & \delta \\
\downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
\beta & \delta & \gamma \\
\end{array}
$$

in which $qp = sr$.

- Let $\alpha$ be of degree 2,
- let $\gamma$ and $\delta$ be of degree 1, and
- let $\beta$ be of degree 0.

$D$ is then a Reedy category in which $\overleftarrow{D} = D$ and $\overrightarrow{D}$ has only identity maps.

Let $C$ be the full subcategory of $D$ on the objects $\{\alpha, \gamma, \delta\}$, and let $C$ have the structure of a Reedy category that makes it a Reedy subcategory of $D$. Although $C$ is a Reedy subcategory of $D$, it is not a fibering Reedy subcategory because the map $qp: \alpha \to \beta$ in $\overleftarrow{D}$ has only two factorizations in which the first map is in $\overleftarrow{C}$ and is not an identity map and the second is in $\overrightarrow{D}$, $q \circ p$ and $s \circ r$, and neither of those factorizations maps to the other; thus the nerve of the category of such factorizations is nonempty and not connected. Theorem 1.1 thus implies that there is a model category $M$ such that the restriction functor $M^{D} \to M^{C}$ is not a right Quillen functor.

#### 3.2. A Reedy functor that is not cofibering

Proposition 2.19 implies that the opposite of Example 3.1 is a Reedy subcategory that is not cofibering.

#### 3.3. Truncations

**Proposition 3.2.** If $C$ is a Reedy category and $n \geq 0$, then the inclusion functor $G: C^{\leq n} \to C$ (see Definition 2.7) is both a fibering Reedy functor and a cofibering Reedy functor.
Definition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a Reedy category, let $n \geq 0$, and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a model category.

(1) Since $\mathcal{M}$ is cocomplete, the restriction functor $\mathcal{M}^\circ \to \mathcal{M}^{\leq n}$ has a left adjoint $L: \mathcal{M}^{\leq n} \to \mathcal{M}^\circ$ (see [B2, Thm. 3.7.2]), and we define the $n$-skeleton functor $\text{sk}_n: \mathcal{M}^\circ \to \mathcal{M}^{\leq n}$ to be the composition

\[
\mathcal{M}^\circ \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} \mathcal{M}^{\leq n} \xrightarrow{L} \mathcal{M}^\circ .
\]

(2) Since $\mathcal{M}$ is complete, the restriction functor $\mathcal{M}^\circ \to \mathcal{M}^{\leq n}$ has a right adjoint $R: \mathcal{M}^{\leq n} \to \mathcal{M}^\circ$ (see [B2, Thm. 3.7.2]), and we define the $n$-coskeleton functor $\text{cosk}_n: \mathcal{M}^\circ \to \mathcal{M}^{\leq n}$ to be the composition

\[
\mathcal{M}^\circ \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} \mathcal{M}^{\leq n} \xrightarrow{R} \mathcal{M}^\circ .
\]
Proposition 3.7. If $\mathcal{C}$ is a Reedy category, $n \geq 0$, and $\mathcal{M}$ is a model category, then

1. the $n$-skeleton functor $\text{sk}_n : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a left Quillen functor, and
2. the $n$-coskeleton functor $\text{cosk}_n : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is a right Quillen functor.

Proof. Since the restriction functor is a right Quillen functor (see Proposition 3.3), its left adjoint is a left Quillen functor (see Proposition 2.24). Since the restriction is also a left Quillen functor (see Proposition 3.3), its composition with its left adjoint is a left Quillen functor. Similarly, the composition of restriction with its right adjoint is a right Quillen functor. □

3.5. (Multi)cosimplicial and (multi)simplicial objects. In this section we consider simplicial and cosimplicial diagrams, as well as their multidimensional versions, $m$-cosimplicial and $m$-simplicial diagrams (see Definition 3.8). Simplicial and cosimplicial diagrams are standard tools in homotopy theory, while $m$-simplicial and $m$-cosimplicial ones have seen an increase in usage in recent years, most notably through their appearance in the calculus of functors (see [E,KMV]).

The important questions are whether the restrictions to various subdiagrams of $m$-simplicial and $m$-cosimplicial diagrams are Quillen functors (and the answer will be yes in all cases). The subdiagrams we will look at are the restricted (co)simplicial objects, diagonals of $m$-(co)simplicial objects, and slices of $m$-(co)simplicial objects. These are considered in Sections 3.5.1, 3.5.2, and 3.5.3 respectively. In particular, the fibrancy of the slices of a fibrant $m$-dimensional cosimplicial object is needed to justify taking its totalization one dimension at a time, as is done in both [E] and [KMV]. This and some further results about totalizations of $m$-cosimplicial objects will be addressed in future work.

We begin by recalling the definitions:

Definition 3.8. For every nonnegative integer $n$, we let $[n]$ denote the ordered set $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

1. The cosimplicial indexing category $\Delta$ is the category with objects the $[n]$ for $n \geq 0$ and with $\Delta([n], [k])$ the set of weakly monotone functions $[n] \to [k]$.
2. A cosimplicial object in a category $\mathcal{M}$ is a functor from $\Delta$ to $\mathcal{M}$.
3. If $m$ is a positive integer, then an $m$-cosimplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$ is a functor from $\Delta^m$ to $\mathcal{M}$.
4. The simplicial indexing category $\Delta^{\text{op}}$, the opposite category of $\Delta$.
5. A simplicial object in a category $\mathcal{M}$ is a functor from $\Delta^{\text{op}}$ to $\mathcal{M}$.
6. If $m$ is a positive integer, then an $m$-simplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$ is a functor from $(\Delta^m)^{\text{op}} = (\Delta^{\text{op}})^m$ to $\mathcal{M}$.

3.5.1. Restricted cosimplicial objects and restricted simplicial objects. For examples of fibering Reedy subcategories and cofibering Reedy subcategories that include all of the objects, we consider the restricted cosimplicial (or semi-cosimplicial) and restricted simplicial (or semi-simplicial) indexing categories.

Definition 3.9. For $n$ a nonnegative integer, let $[n]$ denote the ordered set $(0, 1, 2, \ldots, n)$.

1. The restricted cosimplicial indexing category $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$ is the category with objects the ordered sets $[n]$ for $n \geq 0$ and with $\Delta_{\text{rest}}([n], [k])$ the injective order preserving maps $[n] \to [k]$.

The category $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$ is thus a subcategory of $\Delta$, the cosimplicial indexing category (see Definition 3.8).
The restricted simplicial indexing category $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}$ is the opposite of the restricted cosimplicial indexing category.

(3) If $\mathcal{M}$ is a category, then a restricted cosimplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$ is a functor from $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$ to $\mathcal{M}$.

(4) If $\mathcal{M}$ is a category, a restricted simplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$ is a functor from $(\Delta_{\text{rest}})^{\text{op}}$ to $\mathcal{M}$.

If we let $G: \Delta_{\text{rest}} \to \Delta$ be the inclusion, then for $X$ a cosimplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$ the induced diagram $G^*X$ is a restricted cosimplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$, called the underlying restricted cosimplicial object of $X$; it is obtained from $X$ by “forgetting the codegeneracy operators”. Similarly, if we let $G: \Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}} \to \Delta^{\text{op}}$ be the inclusion, then for $Y$ a simplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$ the induced diagram $G^*Y$ is a restricted simplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$, called the underlying restricted simplicial object of $Y$, obtained from $Y$ by “forgetting the degeneracy operators”.

**Theorem 3.10.**

(1) The inclusion $\Delta_{\text{rest}} \to \Delta$ of the restricted cosimplicial indexing category into the cosimplicial indexing category is both a fibering Reedy functor and a cofibering Reedy functor.

(2) The inclusion $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}} \to \Delta^{\text{op}}$ of the restricted simplicial indexing category into the simplicial indexing category is both a fibering Reedy functor and a cofibering Reedy functor.

**Proof.** We will prove part 1; part 2 will then follow from Proposition 2.19.

We first prove that the inclusion $\Delta_{\text{rest}} \to \Delta$ is the inclusion of a cofibering Reedy subcategory. Let $\sigma: \beta \to \alpha$ be a map in $\Delta$. If $\sigma$ is an identity map, then the category of direct $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$-factorizations of $\sigma$ is empty. If $\sigma$ is not an identity map, then $(\sigma: \beta \to \alpha, 1_{\beta})$ is an object of the category of direct $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$-factorizations of $\sigma$ that maps to every other object of that category, and so the nerve of that category is connected.

We now prove that the inclusion $\Delta_{\text{rest}} \to \Delta$ is the inclusion of a fibering Reedy subcategory. Let $\sigma: \alpha \to \beta$ be a map in $\Delta$. Since there are no non-identity maps in $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$, the category of inverse $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$-factorizations of $\sigma$ is empty. □

**Theorem 3.11.** Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a model category.

(1) The functor $\mathcal{M}^{\Delta} \to \mathcal{M}^{\Delta_{\text{rest}}}$ that “forgets the codegeneracies” of a cosimplicial object is both a left Quillen functor and a right Quillen functor.

(2) The functor $\mathcal{M}^{\Delta^{\text{op}}} \to \mathcal{M}^{\Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}}$ that “forgets the degeneracies” of a simplicial object is both a left Quillen functor and a right Quillen functor.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 3.10, Theorem 1.1, and Theorem 1.2. □

3.5.2. **Diagonals of multicosimplicial and multisimplicial objects.**

**Definition 3.12.** Let $m$ be a positive integer.

(1) The diagonal embedding of the category $\Delta$ into $\Delta^m$ is the functor $D: \Delta \to \Delta^m$ that takes the object $[k]$ of $\Delta$ to the object $(\underbrace{[k],[k],\ldots,[k]}_{m\text{ times}})$ of $\Delta^m$ and the morphism $\phi: [p] \to [q]$ of $\Delta$ to the morphism $(\phi^m)$ of $\Delta^m$. 

(2) The diagonal embedding of the category $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$ into $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^m$ is the functor $D_{\text{rest}}: \Delta_{\text{rest}} \to \Delta_{\text{rest}}^m$ that takes the object $[k]$ of $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$ to the object $(\underbrace{[k],[k],\ldots,[k]}_{m\text{ times}})$ of $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^m$ and the morphism $\phi_{\text{rest}}: [p] \to [q]$ of $\Delta_{\text{rest}}$ to the morphism $(\phi_{\text{rest}}^m)$ of $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^m$. 

(3) The diagonal embedding of the category $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}$ into $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}^m$ is the functor $D^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}: \Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}} \to \Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}^m$ that takes the object $[k]$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}$ to the object $(\underbrace{[k],[k],\ldots,[k]}_{m\text{ times}})$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}^m$ and the morphism $\phi^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}: [p] \to [q]$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}$ to the morphism $(\phi^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}})^m)$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}^m$. 

(4) The diagonal embedding of the category $\Delta^{\text{op}}$ into $\Delta^{\text{op}}^m$ is the functor $D^{\text{op}}: \Delta^{\text{op}} \to \Delta^{\text{op}}^m$ that takes the object $[k]$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}$ to the object $(\underbrace{[k],[k],\ldots,[k]}_{m\text{ times}})$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}^m$ and the morphism $\phi^{\text{op}}: [p] \to [q]$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}$ to the morphism $(\phi^{\text{op}})$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}^m$. 

(5) The diagonal embedding of the category $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}$ into $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}^m$ is the functor $D_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}: \Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}} \to \Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}^m$ that takes the object $[k]$ of $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}$ to the object $(\underbrace{[k],[k],\ldots,[k]}_{m\text{ times}})$ of $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}^m$ and the morphism $\phi_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}: [p] \to [q]$ of $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}$ to the morphism $(\phi_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}})^m)$ of $\Delta_{\text{rest}}^{\text{op}}^m$. 

(6) The diagonal embedding of the category $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}$ into $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}^m$ is the functor $D^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}: \Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}} \to \Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}^m$ that takes the object $[k]$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}$ to the object $(\underbrace{[k],[k],\ldots,[k]}_{m\text{ times}})$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}^m$ and the morphism $\phi^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}: [p] \to [q]$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}$ to the morphism $(\phi^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}})^m)$ of $\Delta^{\text{op}}_{\text{rest}}^m$. 


If $\mathcal{M}$ is a category and $X$ is an $m$-cosimplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$, then the diagonal $\text{diag} X$ of $X$ is the cosimplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$ that is the composition

$$\Delta \xrightarrow{D} \Delta^m \xrightarrow{X} \mathcal{M},$$

so that $(\text{diag} X)^k = X^{(k,k,\ldots,k)}$.

(3) If $\mathcal{M}$ is a category and $X$ is an $m$-simplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$, then the diagonal $\text{diag} X$ of $X$ is the simplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$ that is the composition

$$\Delta^{op} \xrightarrow{D^{op}} (\Delta^m)^{op} = (\Delta^{op})^m \xrightarrow{X^{op}} \mathcal{M},$$

so that $(\text{diag} X)_k = X^{(k,k,\ldots,k)}$.

**Theorem 3.13.** Let $m$ be a positive integer.

1. The diagonal embedding $D: \Delta \to \Delta^m$ is a fibering Reedy functor.
2. The diagonal embedding $D^{op}: \Delta^{op} \to (\Delta^m)^{op} = (\Delta^{op})^m$ is a cofibering Reedy functor.

**Proof.** We will prove part 1; part 2 will then follow from Proposition 2.19.

We will identify $\Delta$ with its image in $\Delta^m$, so that the objects of $\Delta$ are the $m$-tuples $([k],[k],\ldots,[k])$. If $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m): ([k],[k],\ldots,[k]) \to ([p_1],[p_2],\ldots,[p_m])$ is a map in $\Delta^m$, then [H2 Lem. 5.1] implies that it has a terminal factorization through a diagonal object of $\Delta^m$. If that terminal factorization is through the identity map of $([k],[k],\ldots,[k])$, then the category of inverse $\Delta$-factorizations of $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$ is empty; if that terminal factorization is not through the identity map, then it is a terminal object of the category of inverse $\Delta$-factorizations of $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$, and so the nerve of that category is connected. \hfill $\square$

Part 1 of the following corollary appears in [H2].

**Corollary 3.14.** Let $m$ be a positive integer and let $\mathcal{M}$ be a model category.

1. The functor that takes an $m$-cosimplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$ to its diagonal cosimplicial object is a right Quillen functor.
2. The functor that takes an $m$-simplicial object in $\mathcal{M}$ to its diagonal simplicial object is a left Quillen functor.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 3.13, Theorem 1.1, and Theorem 1.2. \hfill $\square$

### 3.5.3. Slices of multisimplicial and multisimplicial objects.

**Definition 3.15.** Let $n$ be a positive integer and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $\mathcal{C}_i$ be a category. If $K$ is a subset of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, then a $K$-slice of the product category $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_i$ is the category $\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{C}_i$. (If $K$ consists of a single integer $j$, then we will use the term $j$-slice to refer to the $K$-slice.) An inclusion of the $K$-slice is a functor $\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{C}_i \to \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_i$ defined by choosing an object $\mathcal{C}_i$ of $\mathcal{C}_i$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} - K$ and inserting $\mathcal{C}_i$ into the $i$'th coordinate for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} - K$.

**Theorem 3.16.** Let $n$ be a positive integer and for $1 \leq i \leq n$ let $\mathcal{C}_i$ be a Reedy category. For every subset $K$ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ both the product $\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_i$ and the product $\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{C}_i$ are Reedy categories (see [H1] Prop. 15.1.6), and every inclusion of a $K$-slice $\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{C}_i \to \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_i$ (see Definition 3.15) is both a fibering Reedy functor and a cofibering Reedy functor.
Let \((\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \ldots, \alpha_n)\) be an object of \(\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{E}_i\) and let
\[
(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n): (\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \ldots, \alpha_n) \rightarrow (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \ldots, \gamma_n)
\]
be a map in \(\prod_{i = 1}^n \mathcal{E}_i\). Since \(\prod_{i = 1}^n \mathcal{E}_i = \prod_{i = 1}^n \mathcal{E}_i\), each \(\sigma_i \in \mathcal{E}_i\). If \(\sigma_1\) and \(\sigma_2\) are both identity maps, then the category of inverse \(\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{E}_i\)-factorizations of \((\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n)\) is empty. Otherwise, the category of inverse \(\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{E}_i\)-factorizations of \((\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n)\) contains the object
\[
\left(\beta_1, \beta_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \ldots, \alpha_n\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, 1, \varepsilon_3, \ldots, \varepsilon_n\right)} \left(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \ldots, \alpha_n\right)
\]
and every other object of the category of inverse \(\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{E}_i\)-factorizations of \((\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n)\) maps to this one. Thus the nerve of the category of inverse \(\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{E}_i\)-factorizations of \((\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n)\) is connected. \(\square\)

**Theorem 3.17.** If \(\mathcal{M}\) is a model category, \(n\), \(\mathcal{E}_i\) for \(1 \leq i \leq n\), and \(K\) are as in Theorem 3.16 and the functor \(\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow \prod_{i = 1}^n \mathcal{E}_i\) is the inclusion of a \(K\)-slice, then the restriction functor
\[
\mathcal{M}(\prod_{i \in K} \mathcal{E}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\prod_{i = 1}^n \mathcal{E}_i)
\]
is both a left Quillen functor and a right Quillen functor.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2, and Theorem 3.16. \(\square\)

**Definition 3.18.** Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a model category and let \(m\) be a positive integer.

1. If \(X\) is an \(m\)-cosimplicial object in \(\mathcal{M}\), then a slice of \(X\) is a cosimplicial object in \(\mathcal{M}\) defined by restricting all but one factor of \(\Delta^m\).
2. If \(X\) is an \(m\)-simplicial object in \(\mathcal{M}\), then a slice of \(X\) is a simplicial object in \(\mathcal{M}\) defined by restricting all but one factor of \((\Delta^m)^{op}\).

**Theorem 3.19.** Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a model category and let \(m\) be a positive integer.

1. The functor \(\mathcal{M}(\Delta^m) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\Delta\) that restricts a multisimplicial object to a slice (see Definition 3.18) is both a left Quillen functor and a right Quillen functor.
2. The functor \(\mathcal{M}(\Delta^{m^{op}})^m \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\Delta^{m^{op}}\) that restricts a multicosimplicial object to a slice is both a left Quillen functor and a right Quillen functor.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 3.17. \(\square\)

**Corollary 3.20.** Let \(\mathcal{M}\) be a model category and let \(m\) be a positive integer.

1. If \(X\) is a fibrant \(m\)-cosimplicial object in \(\mathcal{M}\), then every slice of \(X\) is a fibrant cosimplicial object.
2. If \(X\) is a cofibrant \(m\)-simplicial object in \(\mathcal{M}\), then every slice of \(X\) is a cofibrant simplicial object.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 3.19. \(\square\)
4. Proofs of the main theorems

Our main result, Theorem 1.1, will follow immediately from Theorem 4.1 below (the latter is an elaboration of the former). The proof of its dual, Theorem 1.2, will use Theorem 1.1 and can be found in Section 4.5.

**Theorem 4.1.** If \( G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) is a Reedy functor between Reedy categories, then the following are equivalent:

1. The functor \( G \) is a fibering Reedy functor (see Definition 2.15).
2. For every model category \( \mathcal{M} \) the induced functor of diagram categories \( G^* : \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C} \) is a right Quillen functor.
3. For every model category \( \mathcal{M} \) the induced functor of diagram categories \( G^* : \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C} \) takes fibrant objects of \( \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \) to fibrant objects of \( \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C} \).

**Proof.** The proof will be completed by the proofs of Theorem 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 below. More precisely, we will have

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{(1)} \xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 4.2}} \text{(2)} \xrightarrow{\text{Proposition 2.25}} \text{(3)} \xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 4.3}} \text{(1)} \quad \square
\end{array}
\]

**Theorem 4.2.** If \( G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) is a fibering Reedy functor and \( \mathcal{M} \) is a model category, then the induced functor of diagram categories \( G^* : \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C} \) is a right Quillen functor.

**Theorem 4.3.** If \( G : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D} \) is a Reedy functor that is not a fibering Reedy functor, then there is a fibrant \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagram of topological spaces for which the induced \( \mathcal{C} \)-diagram is not fibrant.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 is given in Section 4.1, while the proof of Theorem 4.3 can be found in Section 4.4.

In summary, the proofs of our main results, Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, thus have the following structure:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Theorem 4.2} \quad \text{(Section 4.1)} & \xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 4.1}} & \text{Theorem 1.1} \\
\text{Theorem 4.3} \quad \text{(Section 4.4)} & \xrightarrow{\text{Theorem 1.2}} & \text{Theorem 1.2} \quad \text{(Section 4.5)}
\end{array}
\]

4.1. Proof of Theorem 4.2. We work backward, first giving the proof of the main result. The completion of that proof will depend on two key assertions, Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.20, whose proofs are given in Sections 4.2 and 4.3. The assumption that we have a fibering Reedy functor is used only in the proofs of Proposition 4.6 and Proposition 4.10 (the latter is used in the proof of the former).

**Proof of Theorem 4.2.** Since \( \mathcal{M} \) is cocomplete, the left adjoint of \( G^* \) exists (see [B2 Thm. 3.7.2] or [M p. 235]). Thus, to show that the induced functor \( \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{M}^\mathcal{C} \) is a right Quillen functor, we need only show that it preserves fibrations and trivial
fibrations (see Proposition 2.24). Since the weak equivalences in $\mathcal{M}^D$ and $\mathcal{M}^C$ are the objectwise ones, any weak equivalence in $\mathcal{M}^D$ induces a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{M}^C$. Thus, if we show that the induced functor preserves fibrations, then we will also know that it takes maps that are both fibrations and weak equivalences to maps that are both fibrations and weak equivalences, i.e., that it also preserves trivial fibrations. To show that the induced functor $\mathcal{M}^D \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^C$ preserves fibrations, let $X \rightarrow Y$ be a fibration of $\mathcal{D}$-diagrams in $\mathcal{M}$; we will let $G^*X$ and $G^*Y$ denote the induced diagrams on $\mathcal{C}$. For every object $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{C}$, the matching objects of $X$ and $Y$ at $\alpha$ in $\mathcal{M}^C$ are

$$M^C_\alpha G^*X = \lim_{\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})} G^*X \quad \text{and} \quad M^C_\alpha G^*Y = \lim_{\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})} G^*Y$$

and we define $P^C_\alpha$ by letting the diagram

$$
P^C_\alpha \rightarrow (G^*Y)_\alpha \quad \Downarrow \quad M^C_\alpha G^*X \longrightarrow M^C_\alpha G^*Y
$$

be a pullback; we must show that the relative matching map $(G^*X)_\alpha \rightarrow P^C_\alpha$ is a fibration (see Theorem 2.5), and there are two cases:

1. There is a non-identity map $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}$ that $G$ takes to the identity map of $G\alpha$.
2. $G$ takes every non-identity map $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}$ to a non-identity map in $\mathcal{D}$.

In the first case, Proposition 4.20 (in Section 4.3 below) implies that the pullback Diagram 4.4 is isomorphic to the diagram

$$
P^C_\alpha \longrightarrow (G^*Y)_\alpha \quad \Downarrow \quad (G^*X)_\alpha \longrightarrow (G^*Y)_\alpha
$$

in which the vertical map on the left is an isomorphism $P^C_\alpha \approx (G^*X)_\alpha$. Thus, the composition of the relative matching map with that isomorphism is the identity map of $(G^*X)_\alpha$, and so the relative matching map is an isomorphism $(G^*X)_\alpha \rightarrow P^C_\alpha$, and is thus a fibration.

We are left with the second case, and so we can assume that $G$ takes every non-identity map $\alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ in $\mathcal{C}$ to a non-identity map in $\mathcal{D}$. In this case, $G$ induces a functor $G_* : \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ that takes the object $f : \alpha \rightarrow \gamma$ of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ to the object $Gf : G\alpha \rightarrow G\gamma$ of $\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ (see Proposition 2.14).

The matching objects of $X$ and $Y$ at $G\alpha$ in $\mathcal{M}^D$ are

$$M^D_{G\alpha} X = \lim_{\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X \quad \text{and} \quad M^D_{G\alpha} Y = \lim_{\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})} Y$$
and we define $P^D_{G\alpha}$ by letting the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_{G\alpha} & \rightarrow & P^D_{G\alpha} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M^D_{G\alpha}X & \rightarrow & M^D_{G\alpha}Y
\end{array}
$$

be a pullback. The functor $G_*: \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ (see Proposition 2.14) induces natural maps

\[
\begin{align*}
M^D_{G\alpha}X &= \lim_{\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X \rightarrow \lim_{\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})} G^*X = M^C_{\alpha}G^*X \\
M^D_{G\alpha}Y &= \lim_{\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})} Y \rightarrow \lim_{\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})} G^*Y = M^C_{\alpha}G^*Y
\end{align*}
\]

and so we have a map of pullbacks and relative matching maps

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
(G^*X)_{\alpha} & \rightarrow & P^C_{\alpha} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
X_{G\alpha} & \rightarrow & P^D_{G\alpha} \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M^D_{G\alpha}X & \rightarrow & M^D_{G\alpha}Y
\end{array}
$$

and our map $(G^*X)_{\alpha} \rightarrow P^C_{\alpha}$ equals the composition

$$
(G^*X)_{\alpha} = X_{G\alpha} \rightarrow P^D_{G\alpha} \rightarrow P^C_{\alpha}.
$$

Since the map $X \rightarrow Y$ is a fibration in $M^D$, the relative matching map $X_{G\alpha} \rightarrow P^D_{G\alpha}$ is a fibration (see Theorem 2.5), and so it is sufficient to show that the natural map

$$
P^D_{G\alpha} \rightarrow P^C_{\alpha}
$$

is a fibration. That statement is the content of Proposition 4.6 (in Section 4.2 below) which (along with Proposition 4.20 in Section 4.3) will complete the proof of Theorem 4.2. \hfill \square

### 4.2. Statement and proof of Proposition 4.6

The purpose of this section is to state and prove the following proposition, which (along with Proposition 4.20 in Section 4.3) will complete the proof of Theorem 4.2.

**Proposition 4.6.** For every object $\alpha$ of $\mathcal{C}$, the map

$$
P^D_{G\alpha} \rightarrow P^C_{\alpha}
$$

from (4.5) is a fibration.
The proof of Proposition 4.6 is intricate, but it does not require any new definitions. To aid the reader, here is the structure of the argument:

(4.7)

Proposition 4.10 \implies \text{Proposition 4.6} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{Lemma 4.19} \implies \text{Proposition 4.11} \implies \text{Lemma 4.18} \end{array}

\begin{array}{c} \text{Lemma 4.12} \& \text{Diagram 4.15} \implies \text{Lemma 4.16} \end{array}

We will start with the proof of Proposition 4.6 and then, as in the proof of Theorem 4.2, we will work our way backward from it.

Proof of Proposition 4.6. If the degree of $\alpha$ is $k$, we define a nested sequence of subcategories of $\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$

\begin{equation}
A_{-1} \subset A_0 \subset A_1 \subset \cdots \subset A_{k-1} = \partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})
\end{equation}

by letting $A_i$ for $-1 \leq i \leq k-1$ be the full subcategory of $\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ with objects

- the objects of $\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ whose target is of degree at most $i$, and
- the image under $G_*: \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to \partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ (see Proposition 2.14) of the objects of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$.

The functor $G_*: \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to \partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})$ factors through $A_{-1}$ and, since there are no objects of negative degree, this functor, by abuse of notation we will also call $G_*: \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to A_{-1}$, maps onto the objects of $A_{-1}$.

In fact, we claim that the functor $G_*: \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to A_{-1}$ is left cofinal (see Definition 2.27) and thus induces isomorphisms

$$\lim_{A_{-1}} X \approx \lim_{\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})} G^*X \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{A_{-1}} Y \approx \lim_{\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})} G^*Y$$

(see Theorem 2.28). To see this, note that every object of $A_{-1}$ is of the form $G\sigma: G\alpha \to G\beta$ for some object $\sigma: \alpha \to \beta$ of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$. For every object $\sigma: \alpha \to \beta$ of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$, an object of the overcategory $(G_* \downarrow (G\sigma: G\alpha \to G\beta))$ is a pair

$$((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma), (\mu: G\gamma \to G\beta))$$

where $\nu: \alpha \to \gamma$ is an object in $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ and $\mu: G\gamma \to G\beta$ is a map in $\mathcal{D}$ such that the triangle

$$\begin{array}{c}
G\nu \quad G\sigma \\
\downarrow G\alpha \quad \downarrow G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D} \\
G\gamma \quad G\beta
\end{array}$$

commutes, and a map

$$((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma), (\mu: G\gamma \to G\beta)) \mapsto ((\nu': \alpha \to \gamma'), (\mu': G\gamma' \to G\beta))$$
is a map \( \tau : \gamma \to \gamma' \) in \( \mathcal{C} \) that makes the diagrams
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{tikzpicture}
  \node (A) at (0,0) {\alpha \nu \downarrow \downarrow \nu' \downarrow \downarrow \gamma'};
  \node (B) at (1,0) {\tau};
  \node (C) at (2,0) {\gamma'};
  \draw[->] (A) -- (B);
  \draw[->] (B) -- (C);
  \draw[->] (A) -- (C);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{align*}
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{tikzpicture}
  \node (A) at (0,0) {G\gamma \downarrow \downarrow \mu \downarrow \downarrow G\gamma'};
  \node (B) at (1,0) {G\tau \downarrow \downarrow \beta \downarrow \downarrow \mu'};
  \draw[->] (A) -- (B);
  \draw[->] (B) -- (A);
  \draw[->] (A) -- (B);
\end{tikzpicture}
\end{align*}
\]
commute. Thus, this overcategory is exactly the category of inverse \( \mathcal{C} \)-factorizations of \( (\alpha, G\sigma) \) (see Proposition 2.14) and so (since \( G \) is a fibering Reedy functor) its nerve must be either empty or connected. Since it is not empty (it contains the vertex \( (\alpha \to \beta, 1_{G\beta}) \)), it is connected, and so \( G_* : \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \to A_{-1} \) is left cofinal.

The sequence of inclusions of categories (4.8) thus induces sequences of maps
\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X &= \lim_{A_{k-1}} X \to \lim_{A_{k-2}} X \to \cdots \to \lim_{A_0} X \approx \lim_{\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})} G^* X \\
\lim_{\partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D})} Y &= \lim_{A_{k-1}} Y \to \lim_{A_{k-2}} Y \to \cdots \to \lim_{A_0} Y \approx \lim_{\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})} G^* Y .
\end{align*}
\]
For \(-1 \leq i \leq k-1\) we let \( P_i \) be the pullback
\[
\begin{tikzpicture}
  \node (A) at (0,0) {\lim_{A_i} X};
  \node (B) at (0,-1) {\lim_{A_i} Y};
  \node (C) at (0,-2) {\lim_{A_i} Y};
  \draw[->] (A) -- (B);
  \draw[->] (B) -- (C);
\end{tikzpicture}
\]
Since we have an evident map of diagrams
\[
\begin{align*}
\left( \lim_{A_{i+1}} X \to \lim_{A_{i+1}} Y \leftarrow Y_{G\alpha} \right) &\to \left( \lim_{A_i} X \to \lim_{A_i} Y \leftarrow Y_{G\alpha} \right)
\end{align*}
\]
we also get an induced map \( P_{i+1} \to P_i \) of pullbacks. We thus have a factorization of (4.5) as
\[
P_{G\alpha}^D = P_{k-1} \to P_{k-2} \to \cdots \to P_{-1} \approx P_{\alpha}^\mathcal{C} ,
\]
and we will show that the map \( P_{i+1} \to P_i \) is a fibration for \(-1 \leq i \leq k-2\).

The objects of \( A_{i+1} \) that are not in \( A_i \) are maps \( G\alpha \to \beta \) where \( \beta \) is of degree \( i + 1 \), and this set of maps can be divided into two subsets:

- the set \( S_{i+1} \) of maps \( G\alpha \to \beta \) for which the category of inverse \( \mathcal{C} \)-factorizations of \( (\alpha, G\alpha \to \beta) \) is nonempty, and
- the set \( T_{i+1} \) of maps for which the category of inverse \( \mathcal{C} \)-factorizations of \( (\alpha, G\alpha \to \beta) \) is empty.

We let \( A'_{i+1} \) be the full subcategory of \( \partial(G\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D}) \) with objects the union of \( S_{i+1} \) with the objects of \( A_i \), and define \( P_{i+1}' \) as the pullback
\[
\begin{tikzpicture}
  \node (A) at (0,0) {\lim_{A'_{i+1}} X};
  \node (B) at (0,-1) {\lim_{A'_{i+1}} X};
  \node (C) at (0,-2) {\lim_{A'_{i+1}} Y};
  \draw[->] (A) -- (B);
  \draw[->] (B) -- (C);
\end{tikzpicture}
\]
We have inclusions of categories \( A_i \subset A'_{i+1} \subset A_{i+1} \), and the maps
\[
\begin{align*}
\lim_{A_{i+1}} X \to \lim_{A_i} X \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{A_{i+1}} Y \to \lim_{A_i} Y
\end{align*}
\]
factor as
\[
\lim_{A_{i+1}} X \to \lim_{A_{i+1}} X \to \lim_{A_i} X \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{A_{i+1}} Y \to \lim_{A_{i+1}} Y \to \lim_{A_i} Y.
\]
These factorizations induce a factorization
\[
P_{i+1} \to P'_{i+1} \to P_i
\]
of the map \(P_{i+1} \to P_i\), and we have the commutative diagram

Proposition 4.10 below asserts that the map \(P'_{i+1} \to P_i\) is an isomorphism and Proposition 4.11 asserts that the map \(P_{i+1} \to P'_{i+1}\) is a fibration. Hence, the map \(P_{G\alpha}^D \to P_{\alpha}^C\) is a fibration as well. 

**Proposition 4.10.** For \(-1 \leq i \leq k-2\), the map \(P'_{i+1} \to P_i\) in (4.9) is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** Let \(\sigma: G\alpha \to \beta\) be an object of \(A'_{i+1}\) that is not in \(A_i\). The objects of \((A_i \downarrow \sigma)\) are commutative diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\gamma & \overset{\nu}{\to} & G\alpha \\
\mu \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \\
\beta & \overset{\gamma}{\leftarrow} & \end{array}
\]

where \(\nu: G\alpha \to \gamma\) is in \(A_i\) and \(\mu\) is in \(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}\). Since \(\beta\) is of degree \(i+1\) and \(\mu\) lowers degree (because \(\mu\) cannot be an identity map, since \(\sigma\) isn’t in \(A_i\)), the degree of \(\gamma\) must be greater than \(i+1\), and so the map \(\nu: G\alpha \to \gamma\) must be of the form \(G\nu': G\alpha \to G\gamma'\) for some map \(\nu': \alpha \to \gamma'\) in \(\overrightarrow{(A_i \downarrow \mathcal{C})}\). Thus, the objects of \((A_i \downarrow \sigma)\) are pairs \((\nu': \alpha \to \gamma'),(\mu: G\gamma' \to \beta)\) where \(\nu': \alpha \to \gamma'\) is a non-identity map of \(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{C}}\), \(\mu: G\gamma' \to \beta\) is in \(\overrightarrow{\mathcal{D}}\), and \(\mu \circ G\nu' = \sigma\), \((A_i \downarrow \sigma)\) is the category of inverse \(\mathcal{C}\)-factorizations of \((\alpha, \sigma)\) (see Proposition 2.14). Since \(G\) is a fibering Reedy functor, the nerve of the category of inverse \(\mathcal{C}\)-factorizations of \((\alpha, \sigma)\) is either empty or connected. Since it is nonempty (because \(\sigma: G\alpha \to \beta\) is an element of \(S_{i+1}\)), the nerve of the overcategory \((A_i \downarrow \sigma)\) is nonempty and connected, and so the inclusion \(A_i \subset A'_{i+1}\) is left cofinal (see Definition 2.27). Thus, the maps \(\lim_{A'_{i+1}} X \to \lim_{A_i} X\) and \(\lim_{A'_{i+1}} Y \to \lim_{A_i} Y\) are isomorphisms (see Theorem 2.28), and so the induced map \(P'_{i+1} \to P_i\) is an isomorphism. 

**Proposition 4.11.** For \(-1 \leq i \leq k-2\), the map \(P_{i+1} \to P'_{i+1}\) in (4.9) is a fibration.
The proof of Proposition 4.11 is more intricate; the reader might wish to refer to the chart (4.7) for its structure. Before we can present it, we will need several lemmas. For the first one, the reader should recall the definition of the sets $T_i$ from the proof of Proposition 4.6.

**Lemma 4.12.** For every $D$-diagram $Z$ in $M$ there is a natural pullback square

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\lim_{A_{i+1}} Z & \longrightarrow & \lim_{A'_{i+1}} Z \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\prod_{(G\alpha \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} Z_{\beta} & \longrightarrow & \lim_{(G\alpha \to \beta) \in T_{i+1} \setminus \partial(\partial_1 D)} Z.
\end{array}
\]

**Proof.** For every element $\sigma : G\alpha \to \beta$ of $T_{i+1}$, every object of the matching category $\partial(\partial_1 D)$ is a map to an object of degree at most $i$, and so we have a functor $\partial(\partial_1 D) \to A'_{i+1}$ that takes $\beta \to \gamma$ to the composition $G\alpha \xrightarrow{\sigma} \beta \to \gamma$; this induces the map $\lim_{A'_{i+1}} Z \longrightarrow \lim_{\partial(\partial_1 D)} Z$ that is the projection of the right hand vertical map onto the factor indexed by $\sigma$. We thus have a commutative square as in Diagram 4.13.

The objects of $A_{i+1}$ are the objects of $A'_{i+1}$ together with the elements of $T_{i+1}$, and so a map to $\lim_{A_{i+1}} Z$ is determined by its postcompositions with the above maps to $\lim_{A'_{i+1}} Z$ and $\prod_{(G\alpha \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} Z\beta$. Since there are no non-identity maps in $A_{i+1}$ with codomain an element of $T_{i+1}$, and the only non-identity maps with domain an element $G\alpha \to \beta$ of $T_{i+1}$ are the objects of the matching category $\partial(\partial_1 D)$, maps to $\lim_{A'_{i+1}} Z$ and to $\prod_{(G\alpha \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} X\beta$ determine a map to $\lim_{A_{i+1}} Z$ if and only if their compositions to $\prod_{(G\alpha \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} \lim_{\partial(\partial_1 D)} Z$ agree. Thus, the diagram is a pullback square. \qed

Now define $Q$ and $R$ by letting the squares

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
Q & \longrightarrow & \lim_{A_{i+1}} X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\lim_{A_{i+1}} Y & \longrightarrow & \lim_{A'_{i+1}} Y
\end{array}
\quad \text{and} \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
R & \longrightarrow & \prod_{(G\alpha \to \beta) \in T_{i+1} \setminus \partial(\partial_1 D)} X \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\prod_{(G\alpha \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} Y_{\beta} & \longrightarrow & \prod_{(G\alpha \to \beta) \in T_{i+1} \setminus \partial(\partial_1 D)} Y
\end{array}
\]

(4.14)
be pullbacks, and consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\lim_{A_{i+1}} X \xrightarrow{s} \lim_{A_{i+1}} X \\
\downarrow \delta \quad \downarrow \epsilon \quad \downarrow \beta \\
\lim_{A_{i+1}} Y \xrightarrow{s'} \lim_{A_{i+1}} Y \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\prod_{(G \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} X_\beta \xrightarrow{t} \prod_{(G \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} \lim_{\partial(\beta \nrightarrow D)} X \\
\downarrow b \quad \downarrow \varepsilon \quad \downarrow f \\
\prod_{(G \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} Y_\beta \xrightarrow{t'} \prod_{(G \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} \lim_{\partial(\beta \nrightarrow D)} Y \\
\]

Lemma 4.12 implies that the front and back rectangles are pullbacks.

**Lemma 4.16.** The square

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\lim_{A_{i+1}} X \xrightarrow{a} Q \\
\downarrow u \quad \downarrow g \\
\prod_{(G \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} X_\alpha \xrightarrow{b} R \\
\end{array}
\]

is a pullback.

**Proof.** Let \( W \) be an object of \( \mathcal{M} \) and let \( h: W \to \prod_{(G \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} X_\beta \) and \( k: W \to Q \) be maps such that \( gk = bh \); we will show that there is a unique map \( \phi: W \to \lim_{A_{i+1}} X \) such that \( a\phi = k \) and \( u\phi = h \).

The map \( ck: W \to \lim_{A_{i+1}'} X \) has the property that \( v(ck) = egk = eh = th \), and since the back rectangle of Diagram (4.15) is a pullback, the maps \( ck \) and \( h \) induce a map \( \phi: W \to \lim_{A_{i+1}} X \) such that \( u\phi = h \) and \( s\phi = ck \). We must show that \( a\phi = k \), and since \( Q \) is a pullback as in Diagram (4.14) this is equivalent to showing that \( ca\phi = ck \) and \( da\phi = dk \).
Since \( ck = s\phi = ca\phi \), we need only show that \( da\phi = dk \). Since the front rectangle of Diagram 4.15 is a pullback, it is sufficient to show that \( s'da\phi = s'dk \) and \( u'da\phi = u'dk \). For the first of those, we have
\[
s'da\phi = s'd\delta\phi = \beta s\phi = \beta ck = s'dk
\]
and for the second, we have
\[
u'da\phi = u'd\delta\phi = \gamma u\phi = fbu\phi = fbh = fgk = u'dk .
\]
Thus, the map \( \phi \) satisfies \( a\phi = k \).

To see that \( \psi \) is the unique such map, let \( \psi: W \to \lim \limits_{A_{i+1} \to Y} \) be another map such that \( a\psi = k \) and \( u\psi = h \). We will show that \( s\psi = s\phi \) and \( u\psi = u\phi \); since the back rectangle of Diagram 4.15 is a pullback, this will imply that \( \psi = \phi \).

Since \( u\psi = h = u\phi \), we need only show that \( s\psi = s\phi \), which follows because \( s\psi = ca\psi = ck = s\phi \).

**Lemma 4.18.** If \( X \to Y \) is a fibration of \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagrams, then the natural map
\[
\lim \limits_{A_{i+1} \to X} Q = \lim \limits_{A'_{i+1} \times (\lim \limits_{A'_{i+1} \to Y})} Y
\]
is a fibration.

**Proof.** Lemma 4.16 gives us the pullback square in Diagram 4.17 where \( Q \) and \( R \) are defined by the pullbacks in Diagram 4.14. Since \( X \to Y \) is a fibration of \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagrams, the map \( \prod_{(G\alpha \to \beta) \in T_{i+1}} X_{\beta} \to R \) is a product of fibrations and is thus a fibration, and so the map \( \lim \limits_{A_{i+1} \to X} \to Q = \lim \limits_{A'_{i+1} \times (\lim \limits_{A'_{i+1} \to Y})} Y \) is a pullback of a fibration and is thus a fibration.

**Lemma 4.19** (Reedy). If both the front and back squares in the diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \rightarrow & B \\
\downarrow \quad f_A & & \downarrow \quad f_B \\
A' & \rightarrow & B' \\
\downarrow \quad f_A' & & \downarrow \quad f_B' \\
C & \rightarrow & D \\
\downarrow \quad f_C & & \downarrow \quad f_D \\
C' & \rightarrow & D'
\end{array}
\]
are pullbacks and both \( f_B: B \to B' \) and \( C \to C' \times \mathcal{D} D \) are fibrations, then \( f_A: A \to A' \) is a fibration.

**Proof.** This is the dual of a lemma of Reedy (see [H1] Lem. 7.2.15 and Rem. 7.1.10).

**Proof of Proposition 4.11** We have a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
P_{i+1} & \rightarrow & Y_{Ga} \\
\downarrow \quad P'_{i+1} & & \downarrow \quad Y_{Ga} \\
\lim \limits_{A_{i+1} \to X} & \rightarrow & \lim \limits_{A_{i+1} \to Y} \\
\downarrow \quad \lim \limits_{A'_{i+1} \to X} & & \downarrow \quad \lim \limits_{A'_{i+1} \to Y}
\end{array}
\]
in which the front and back squares are pullbacks (by definition), and so Lemma 4.19 implies that it is sufficient to show that the map
\[
\lim_{A_{i+1}} X \longrightarrow \lim_{A'_{i+1}} X \times_{(\lim_{A'_{i+1}} Y)} \lim_{A_{i+1}} Y
\]
is a fibration; that is the statement of Lemma 4.18. □

4.3. **Statement and proof of Proposition 4.20.** The purpose of this section is to state and prove the following proposition, which (along with Proposition 4.6 in Section 4.2) completes the proof of Theorem 4.2.

**Proposition 4.20.** Let \( G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \) be a fibering Reedy functor and let \( X \) be a \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagram in a model category \( \mathcal{M} \). If \( \alpha \) is an object of \( \mathcal{C} \) for which there is an object \( \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \) of \( \partial (\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \) (i.e., a non-identity map \( \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \) in \( \mathcal{C} \)) that \( G \) takes to an identity map in \( \mathcal{D} \), then the matching map \((G^*X)_\alpha \rightarrow (G^*X)_\gamma\) of \( G^*X \) (see Definition 2.11) at \( \alpha \) is an isomorphism.

The proof will require several preliminary definitions and results.

**Definition 4.21.** The \( G \)-kernel at \( \alpha \) is the full subcategory of the matching category \( \partial (\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \) with objects the non-identity maps \( \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \) in \( \mathcal{C} \) that \( G \) takes to the identity map of \( G\alpha \).

If \( \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \) is an object of the \( G \)-kernel at \( \alpha \), then the map \((G^*X)_\alpha \rightarrow (G^*X)_\gamma\) is the identity map.

**Lemma 4.22.** Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.20, the nerve of the \( G \)-kernel at \( \alpha \) is connected.

**Proof.** Since \( G \) is a fibering Reedy functor, the nerve of the category \( \text{Fact}^{\mathcal{C}} (\alpha, 1_{G\alpha}) \) of inverse \( \mathcal{C} \)-factorizations of \((\alpha, 1_{G\alpha}) \) is connected, and there is an isomorphism from the \( G \)-kernel at \( \alpha \) to \( \text{Fact}^{\mathcal{C}} (\alpha, 1_{G\alpha}) \) that takes the object \( \alpha \rightarrow \gamma \) to the object \(((\alpha \rightarrow \gamma), (1_{G\alpha}))\). □

The matching object \( M^G(\mathcal{C}^*X) \) is the limit of a \( \partial (\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C}) \)-diagram (which we will also denote by \( G^*X \)); we will refer to that diagram as the matching diagram. The restriction of the matching diagram to the \( G \)-kernel at \( \alpha \) is a diagram in which every object goes to \( X_{G\alpha} = (\mathcal{G}^*X)_{\alpha} \) and every map goes to the identity map of \( X_{G\alpha} \), because if there is a commutative triangle

\[
\gamma \rightarrow \gamma'
\]
in \( \mathcal{C} \) in which \( G\gamma = G\gamma' = 1_{G\alpha} \), then \( G\tau \circ 1_{G\alpha} = 1_{G\alpha} \), and so \( G\tau = 1_{G\alpha} \). Together with Lemma 4.22, this implies the following.

**Lemma 4.23.** Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.20, the restriction of the matching diagram to the \( G \)-kernel at \( \alpha \) is a connected diagram in which every object goes to \( X_{G\alpha} \) and every map goes to the identity map of \( X_{G\alpha} \).

We will prove Proposition 4.20 by showing that for every object \( W \) of \( \mathcal{M} \) the matching map induces an isomorphism of sets of maps

\[
\mathcal{M}(W, (\mathcal{G}^*X)_{\alpha}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}(W, M^G(\mathcal{G}^*X))
\]
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(see Proposition 2.6). The matching object $M^\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}(G^*\mathbf{X})$ is the limit of the matching diagram, and so maps from $W$ to $M^\alpha_{\mathcal{C}}(G^*\mathbf{X})$ correspond to maps from $W$ to the matching diagram. Lemma 4.23 implies that if we restrict the matching diagram to the $G$-kernel at $\alpha$, then maps from $W$ to the restriction of that diagram to the $G$-kernel at $\alpha$ correspond to maps from $W$ to $(G^*\mathbf{X})_\alpha$, and that fact allows us to define a potential inverse to (4.24). All that remains is to show that our potential inverse is actually an inverse.

If $\alpha \to \beta$ and $\alpha \to \gamma$ are objects of the matching category and there is a map $\tau: (\alpha \to \beta) \to (\alpha \to \gamma)$ in the matching category, i.e., a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & \xrightarrow{\beta} & \gamma \\
\downarrow{\gamma} & \nearrow{\tau} & \\
\gamma' & \xrightarrow{\tau'} & \gamma
\end{array}
$$

then for every object $W$ of $\mathcal{M}$ and map from $W$ to the matching diagram, the projection of that map onto $(\alpha \to \gamma)$ is entirely determined by its projection onto $(\alpha \to \beta)$; we will describe this by saying that the object $(\alpha \to \gamma)$ is controlled by the object $(\alpha \to \beta)$. Similarly, if there is a commutative triangle

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & \gamma' \\
\downarrow{\gamma} & \nearrow{\tau} & \\
\gamma & \xrightarrow{\tau'} & \gamma'
\end{array}
$$

in the matching category such that $G\tau$ is an identity map, then we will say that the object $(\alpha \to \gamma)$ is controlled by the object $(\alpha \to \gamma')$ and that the object $(\alpha \to \gamma')$ is controlled by the object $(\alpha \to \gamma)$. We will show by a downward induction on degree that all objects of the matching category are controlled by objects of the $G$-kernel at $\alpha$ (see Definition 4.26 and Proposition 4.29).

**Definition 4.25.** We define an equivalence relation on the set of objects of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \overset{\leftarrow}{\mathcal{C}})$, called $G$-equivalence at $\alpha$, as the equivalence relation generated by the relation under which $f: \alpha \to \gamma$ is equivalent to $f': \alpha \to \gamma'$ if there is a commutative triangle

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
f & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \gamma \\
\downarrow{\gamma} & \nearrow{\tau} & \\
\gamma & \xrightarrow{\tau'} & \gamma'
\end{array}
$$

with $G\tau$ an identity map.

If $f$ and $f'$ are $G$-equivalent at $\alpha$, then $Gf = Gf'$, and there is a zig-zag of identity maps connecting $X_f$ and $X_{f'}$ in the matching diagram.

**Definition 4.26.** We define the set of controlled objects $\{\alpha \to \gamma\}$ of the matching category $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \overset{\leftarrow}{\mathcal{C}})$ by a decreasing induction on degree($G\gamma$):

1. If $\alpha \to \gamma$ is an object of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \overset{\leftarrow}{\mathcal{C}})$ such that degree($G\gamma$) = degree($G\alpha$) (i.e., if $G(\alpha \to \gamma) = 1_{G\alpha}$), then $\alpha \to \gamma$ is controlled. (That is, all objects of the $G$-kernel at $\alpha$ are controlled.)
2. If $0 \leq n < \text{degree}(G\alpha)$ and we have defined the controlled objects $\alpha \to \delta$ for $n < \text{degree}(\delta) \leq \text{degree}(G\alpha)$, then we define an object $\alpha \to \gamma$ with degree($G\gamma$) = $n$ to be controlled if it is $G$-equivalent at $\alpha$ to an object $\alpha \to \gamma'$ that has a factorization $\alpha \to \delta \to \gamma'$ in $\overset{\leftarrow}{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\alpha \to \delta$ is an object of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \overset{\leftarrow}{\mathcal{C}})$ that is controlled.
Example 4.27. Let $G: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{D}$ be the fibering Reedy functor between Reedy categories as in the following diagram:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{G} & \mathcal{D} \\
\alpha & \searrow & a \\
\beta & \downarrow & f \\
\gamma & \downarrow & b \\
\delta & \downarrow & \sigma \\
\epsilon & \downarrow & \tau \\
\mu & \downarrow & \nu \\
\end{array}
\]

where

- $\mathcal{C}$ has five objects, $\alpha$, $\beta$, $\gamma$, $\delta$, and $\epsilon$ of degrees 4, 3, 2, 1, and 0, respectively, and the diagram commutes;
- $\mathcal{D}$ has two objects, $a$ and $b$ of degrees 1 and 0, respectively;
- $G\alpha = G\beta = G\gamma = a$ and $G$ takes the maps between them to $1_a$;
- $G\delta = G\epsilon = b$ and $G\mu = 1_b$; and
- $G\sigma = G\tau = f$.

Every object of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{C})$ is controlled:

- The objects $\alpha \to \beta$ and $\alpha \to \gamma$ are controlled because of the first part of Definition 4.26.
- The object $\alpha \to \epsilon$ is controlled because it is $G$-equivalent at $\alpha$ to itself and it factors as $\alpha \to \gamma \to \epsilon$ where the object $\alpha \to \gamma$ is controlled.
- The object $\sigma$ is controlled because it is $G$-equivalent at $\alpha$ to $\alpha \to \epsilon$ and the latter map factors as $\alpha \to \gamma \to \epsilon$ where the object $\alpha \to \gamma$ is controlled.

If $X$ is a $\mathcal{D}$-diagram in a model category $\mathcal{M}$, then the induced $\mathcal{C}$-diagram $G^*X$ has

\[(G^*X)_\alpha = (G^*X)_\beta = (G^*X)_\gamma = X_a \quad \text{and} \quad (G^*X)_\delta = (G^*X)_\epsilon = X_b,\]

and the matching object of $(G^*X)$ at $\alpha$ is the limit of the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_a & \rightarrow & X_a \\
& \downarrow & \downarrow \\
X_b & \leftarrow & X_b \\
& \downarrow 1_{X_b} & \\
& X_b & \\
\end{array}
\]

that limit is isomorphic to $X_a$, as guaranteed by Proposition 4.20.

The set of controlled objects has the following property.

**Lemma 4.28.** Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.20, if $W$ is an object of $\mathcal{M}$ and $h, k: W \to M^G_\alpha(G^*X)$ are two maps to the matching object of $G^*X$ at $\alpha$ whose projections onto at least one object of the $G$-kernel at $\alpha$ agree, then their projections onto every controlled object agree.

**Proof.** This follows by a decreasing induction as in Definition 4.26 using Lemma 4.23 and Definition 4.26. \qed
That every object in the example above was controlled was not an accident, as shown by the following result.

**Proposition 4.29.** Under the hypotheses of Proposition 4.20, every object \( f : \alpha \to \gamma \) of \( \partial(\alpha \downarrow C) \) is controlled.

**Proof.** We will show this by a decreasing induction on the degree of \( G\gamma \) in \( D \), beginning with degree(\( G\gamma \)). The induction is begun because the objects \( f : \alpha \to \gamma \) in \( \partial(\alpha \downarrow C) \) with degree(\( G\gamma \)) = degree(\( G\alpha \)) are exactly the objects of the \( G \)-kernel at \( \alpha \), since a map in \( \overline{D} \) that does not lower degree must be an identity map.

Suppose now that \( 0 \leq n < \text{degree}(G\alpha) \), that every object \( \alpha \to \delta \) in \( \partial(\alpha \downarrow C) \) with degree(\( G\delta \)) > \( n \) is controlled, and that \( f : \alpha \to \gamma \) is an object of \( \partial(\alpha \downarrow C) \) with degree(\( G\gamma \)) = \( n \). Consider the category \( \text{Fact}_C(\alpha, Gf) \) of inverse \( C \)-factorizations of \( (\alpha, Gf : G\alpha \to G\gamma) \). That category contains the object \( ((f : \alpha \to \gamma), (1_{G\gamma})) \) and, if \( g : \alpha \to \delta \) is an object of the \( G \)-kernel at \( \alpha \), then it also contains the object \( ((g : \alpha \to \delta), (Gf : G\alpha \to G\gamma)) \). Since \( G \) is a fibering Reedy functor, the nerve of the category \( \text{Fact}_C(\alpha, Gf) \) is connected, and so there must be a zig-zag of maps in \( \text{Fact}_C(\alpha, Gf) \) connecting those two objects.

There is a functor from \( \text{Fact}_C(\alpha, Gf) \) to \( \partial(\alpha \downarrow C) \) that takes the object \( (\nu : \alpha \to \delta), (\mu : G\delta \to G\gamma) \) to the object \( \nu : \alpha \to \delta \). We will show that there is a map \( \tau : \epsilon \to \gamma' \) in \( \text{Fact}_C(\alpha, Gf) \) from an object \( ((h : \alpha \to \epsilon), (Ge \to G\gamma)) \) with degree(\( Ge \)) > degree(\( G\gamma \)) to an object \( ((f' : \alpha \to \gamma'), (1 : G\gamma' \to G\gamma' = G\gamma)) \) that is \( G \)-equivalent to \( f \). The induction hypothesis will then imply that \( h : \alpha \to \epsilon \) is controlled, and since the composition \( \alpha \to \epsilon \to \gamma' \) equals \( f' : \alpha \to \gamma' \), this will imply that \( f : \alpha \to \gamma \) is controlled.

We first show that if \( ((f' : \alpha \to \gamma'), (1_{G\gamma})) \) is an object of \( \text{Fact}_C(\alpha, Gf) \) such that \( f' \) is \( G \)-equivalent at \( \alpha \) to \( f \), then that object is not the domain of any map to an object \( (h : \alpha \to \epsilon), (Ge \to G\gamma) \) with degree(\( Ge \)) \( \neq \) degree(\( G\gamma \)). If \( f' : \alpha \to \gamma' \) is an object of \( \partial(\alpha \downarrow C) \) that is \( G \)-equivalent at \( \alpha \) to \( f : \alpha \to \gamma \), then \( Gf' = Gf \), and if there is a map in \( \text{Fact}_C(\alpha, Gf) \) from \( ((f' : \alpha \to \gamma'), (1_{G\gamma})) \) to another object, then that other object must be of the form \( ((f'' : \alpha \to \gamma''), (1_{G\gamma'})) \) where \( f'' \) is also \( G \)-equivalent at \( \alpha \) to \( f \). This is because if \( \tau : \gamma' \to \gamma'' \) is a map in \( C \) such that \( G\tau \) is not an identity map, then degree(\( G\gamma'' \)) < degree(\( G\gamma' \)) = degree(\( G\gamma \)) and so there is no object of \( \text{Fact}_C(\alpha, Gf) \) of the form \( ((\tau f' : \alpha \to \gamma''), (G\gamma'' \to G\gamma)) \) (because an identity map in a Reedy category cannot factor through a degree-lowering map), and so there can be no such map.

Since there must be a zig-zag of maps in \( \text{Fact}_C(\alpha, Gf) \) connecting \( (g : \alpha \to \delta), (Gf : G\alpha \to G\gamma) \) to some object \( ((f' : \alpha \to \gamma'), (1_{G\gamma})) \) where \( f' : \alpha \to \gamma' \) is \( G \)-equivalent to \( f : \alpha \to \gamma \), there must be an object \( ((h : \alpha \to \epsilon), (Ge \to G\gamma)) \) of \( \text{Fact}_C(\alpha, Gf) \) and a map \( \tau : \epsilon \to \gamma' \) to an object \( ((f' : \alpha \to \gamma'), (1_{G\gamma})) \) where \( f' : \alpha \to \gamma' \) is \( G \)-equivalent to \( f \) and \( h \) is not \( G \)-equivalent to \( f \). If degree(\( Ge \)) = degree(\( G\gamma \)), then \( G\tau \) must be an identity map (and so \( h \) must be \( G \)-equivalent to...
f) because there is a commutative triangle
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{G}_\epsilon \\
\downarrow \ 	ext{G}_\tau \\
\text{G}_\gamma' \\
\downarrow \text{G}_\gamma' \end{array}
\]
in which the map \( \text{G}_\epsilon \to \text{G}_\gamma' \) is a map of \( \mathcal{D} \) that does not lower degree and is thus an identity map. Thus, the only way an object \(((f': \alpha \to \gamma'), (1_{G\gamma}))\) with \( f' \) being \( G \)-equivalent to \( f \) can connect via a zig-zag to an object \(((h: \alpha \to \epsilon), (G\epsilon \to G\gamma))\) with \( h \) not \( G \)-equivalent to \( f \) is by way of a map \( \tau: \epsilon \to \gamma' \) from an object \(((h: \alpha \to \epsilon), (G\epsilon \to G\gamma))\) with \( \text{deg}(G\epsilon) > \text{deg}(G\gamma) \), which (by the induction hypothesis) implies that \( h: \alpha \to \epsilon \) is controlled. In this case, the composition \( \alpha \circ \hat{h} \circ \tau \circ \gamma' \) equals \( f': \alpha \to \gamma' \), and so \( f: \alpha \to \gamma \) is controlled. This completes the induction. \( \square \)

**Proof of Proposition 4.20.** Proposition 2.6 implies that it is sufficient to show that for every object \( W \) of \( M \) the matching map \( (G^*X)_\alpha \to M^G_\alpha(G^*X) \) induces an isomorphism of the sets of maps

\[
M(W, (G^*X)_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\approx} M(W, M^G_\alpha(G^*X)).
\]

Let \( W \) be an object of \( M \) and let \( h: W \to M^G_\alpha(G^*X) \) be a map. If \( \alpha \to \gamma \) is an object of \( \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D}) \) that is in the \( G \)-kernel at \( \alpha \), then \( (G^*X)_{(\alpha \to \gamma)} = (G^*X)_\gamma = (G^*X)_\alpha \), and so the projection of \( h \) onto \( (G^*X)_{(\alpha \to \gamma)} \) defines a map \( \hat{h}: W \to (G^*X)_\alpha \). Lemma 4.23 implies that the map \( \hat{h} \) is independent of the choice of object of the \( G \)-kernel at \( \alpha \).

The composition

\[
W \xrightarrow{\hat{h}} (G^*X)_\alpha \xrightarrow{} M^G_\alpha(G^*X)
\]

has the same projection onto \( (G^*X)_{(\alpha \to \gamma)} \) as the map \( h: W \to M^G_\alpha(G^*X) \); since every object of \( \partial(\alpha \downarrow \mathcal{D}) \) is controlled (see Proposition 4.29), these two maps agree on every projection of \( M^G_\alpha(G^*X) \) (see Lemma 4.28), and so they are equal; thus, the map \((4.30)\) is a surjection. Since the composition of the matching map with the projection \( M^G_\alpha(G^*X) \to (G^*X)_{(\alpha \to \gamma)} \) is \( X \circ G \) applied to \( \alpha \to \gamma \), which is the identity map, \( \hat{h} \) is the only possible lift to \( (G^*X)_\alpha \) of \( h \), and so the map \((4.30)\) is also an injection, and so it is an isomorphism.

**4.4. Proof of Theorem 4.3.** We will first construct the \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagram whose existence is asserted in Theorem 4.3. The proof of the theorem is then structured as follows:
The theorem will follow immediately from Proposition 4.32 (which asserts that the \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagram is fibrant) and Proposition 4.36 (which asserts that the induced \( \mathcal{E} \)-diagram is not fibrant).

Our \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagram \( X \) will be a diagram in the standard model category of topological spaces. Throughout its construction, the reader should keep the square diagram from Example 4.31 in mind. In that example, the diagram \( X \) that we construct here is the functor that sends each object in that square to the interval \( I \) with all the maps going to the identity map, and \( G: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \) is the inclusion of the diagram obtained by removing the degree zero object \( \beta \) from the square.

We will define the diagram \( X \) inductively over the filtrations \( F^n \mathcal{D} \) of \( \mathcal{D} \) (see Definition 2.7 and Proposition 2.9). To start this inductive construction, since \( G: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \) is not a fibering Reedy functor, there are objects \( \alpha \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{E}) \) and \( \beta \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{D}) \) and a map \( \sigma: G\alpha \rightarrow \beta \) in \( \mathcal{D} \) such that the nerve of the category of inverse \( \mathcal{E} \)-factorizations of \((\alpha, \sigma)\) (see Definition 2.12) is nonempty and not connected. Let \( n_\beta \) be the degree of \( \beta \). We have two cases:

- If \( n_\beta = 0 \), we begin by letting \( X: F^0\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Top} \) take \( \beta \) to the unit interval \( I \) and all other objects of \( F^0\mathcal{D} \) to \(*\) (the one-point space).
- If \( n_\beta > 0 \), we begin by letting \( X: F^{(n_\beta)-1}\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Top} \) be the constant functor at \(*\) (the one-point space). Then, to extend \( X \) from \( F^{(n_\beta)-1}\mathcal{D} \) to \( F^{n_\beta}\mathcal{D} \), we let \( X_\beta = I \), the unit interval. We factor \( L_\beta X \rightarrow M_\beta X \) as

\[
L_\beta X \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow M_\beta X
\]

where the first map is the constant map at \( 0 \in I \) and the second map is the unique map \( I \rightarrow * \) (since \( X_\gamma = * \) is the terminal object of \( \text{Top} \) for all objects \( \gamma \) of degree less than \( n_\beta \), that matching object is \(*\)). If \( \gamma \) is any other object of \( \mathcal{D} \) of degree \( n_\beta \), we let \( X_\gamma = M_\gamma X \) and let \( L_\gamma X \rightarrow X_\gamma \rightarrow M_\gamma X \) be the natural map followed by the identity map.

We now define \( X: F^n\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Top} \) for \( n > n_\beta \) inductively on \( n \) by letting \( X_\gamma = M_\gamma X \) for every object \( \gamma \) of degree \( n \) and letting the factorization \( L_\gamma X \rightarrow X_\gamma \rightarrow M_\gamma X \) be the natural map followed by the identity map.

**Proposition 4.32.** The \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagram of topological spaces \( X \) is fibrant.

**Proof.** The matching map at the object \( \beta \) of \( \mathcal{D} \) is the map \( I \rightarrow * \), which is a fibration, and the matching map at every other object of \( \mathcal{D} \) is an identity map, which is also a fibration. \( \square \)

**Proposition 4.33.**

1. For every object \( \gamma \) in \( \mathcal{D} \) the space \( X_\gamma \) is homeomorphic to a product of unit intervals, one for each map \( \gamma \rightarrow \beta \) in \( \mathcal{D} \) (and so, for objects \( \gamma \) for which there are no maps \( \gamma \rightarrow \beta \) in \( \mathcal{D} \), the space \( X_\gamma \) is the empty product, and is thus equal to the terminal object, the one-point space \(*\)).

2. Under the isomorphisms of part 1, if \( \tau: \gamma \rightarrow \delta \) is a map in \( \mathcal{D} \), then the projection of \( X_\tau: X_\gamma \rightarrow X_\delta \) onto the factor \( I \) of \( X_\delta \) indexed by a map \( \mu: \delta \rightarrow \beta \) in \( \mathcal{D} \) is the projection of \( X_\gamma \) onto the factor \( I \) of \( X_\gamma \) indexed by \( \mu \tau: \gamma \rightarrow \beta \).

That is, the \( \mathcal{D} \)-diagram of topological spaces \( X \) is isomorphic to the composition

\[
\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Set}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Top}
\]
in which the first map takes an object \( \gamma \) of \( \mathcal{D} \) to \( \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(\gamma, \beta) \) and the second map takes a set \( S \) to a product, indexed by the elements of \( S \), of copies of the unit interval \( I \).

**Proof.** We will use an induction on \( n \) to prove both parts of the proposition simultaneously for the restriction of \( X \) to each filtration \( F^n \mathcal{D} \) of \( \mathcal{D} \). The induction is begun at \( n = n_\beta \) because the only map in \( F^{n_\beta} \mathcal{D} \) to \( \beta \) is the identity map of \( \beta \), the only object of \( F^{n_\beta} \mathcal{D} \) at which \( X \) is not a single point is \( \beta \), and \( X_\beta = I \).

Suppose now that \( n > n_\beta \), the statement is true for the restriction of \( X \) to \( F^{n-1} \mathcal{D} \), and that \( \gamma \) is an object of degree \( n \). The space \( X_\gamma \) is defined to be the matching object \( M_\gamma X = \text{lim}_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X \). There is a discrete subcategory \( \mathcal{E}_\gamma \) of the matching category \( \partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D}) \) consisting of the maps \( \gamma \to \beta \) in \( \mathcal{D} \), and so there is a projection map

\[
M_\gamma X = \lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X \rightarrow \lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D})} \mathcal{X} = \prod_{(\gamma \to \beta) \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{X}_\beta = \prod_{(\gamma \to \beta) \in \mathcal{D}} I.
\]

We will show that that projection map \( p: \lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X \to \prod_{(\gamma \to \beta) \in \mathcal{D}} I \) is a homeomorphism by defining an inverse homeomorphism

\[
q: \prod_{(\gamma \to \beta) \in \mathcal{D}} I \rightarrow \lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X.
\]

We define the map \( q \) by defining its projection onto \( X_{(\tau: \gamma \to \delta)} = X_\delta \) for each object \((\tau: \gamma \to \delta) \) of \( \partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D}) \). The induction hypothesis implies that \( X_\tau = X_\delta \) is isomorphic to \( \prod_{(\delta \to \beta) \in \mathcal{D}} I \), and we let the projection onto the factor indexed by \( \mu: \delta \to \beta \) be the projection of \( \prod_{(\gamma \to \beta) \in \mathcal{D}} I \) onto the factor indexed by \( \mu \tau: \gamma \to \beta \). To see that this defines a map to \( \lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X \), let \( \nu: \delta \to \epsilon \) be a map from \( \tau: \gamma \to \delta \) to \( \nu \tau: \gamma \to \epsilon \) in \( \partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D}) \) (see Diagram 4.34). The induction hypothesis implies that the projection of the map \( X_\nu: X_\tau = X_\delta \to X_{\nu \tau} = X_\epsilon \) onto the factor of \( X_\epsilon \) indexed by \( \xi: \epsilon \to \beta \) in \( \mathcal{D} \) is the projection of \( X_\tau = X_\delta \) onto the factor indexed by \( \xi \tau: \delta \to \beta \).

![Diagram 4.34](https://example.com/diagram.png)

Thus, the projection of the composition \( \prod_{(\gamma \to \beta) \in \mathcal{D}} I \to X_\tau = X_\delta \xrightarrow{X_\nu} X_{\nu \tau} = X_\epsilon \) onto the factor indexed by \( \xi: \epsilon \to \beta \) equals the projection of \( \prod_{(\gamma \to \beta) \in \mathcal{D}} I \) onto the factor indexed by \( \xi \nu \tau: \gamma \to \beta \), which equals that same projection of the map \( \prod_{(\gamma \to \beta) \in \mathcal{D}} I \to X_{\nu \tau: \gamma \to \epsilon} = X_\epsilon \). Thus, we have defined the map \( q \).

It is immediate from the definitions that \( pq \) is the identity map of \( \lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X \). To see that \( qp \) is the identity map of \( \lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X \), we first note that the definitions immediately imply that the projection of \( qp \) onto each \( X_{(\gamma \to \beta)} = X_\beta \) equals the corresponding projection of the identity map of \( \lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D})} X \). If \( \tau: \gamma \to \delta \) is any other object of \( \partial(\gamma \downarrow \mathcal{D}) \), then the induction hypothesis implies that \( X_\tau = X_\delta \) is
homeomorphic to the product $\prod_{(\delta \to \beta) \in \widehat{D}} I$. Every $\mu: \delta \to \beta$ in $\widehat{D}$ defines a map $\mu_*: (\tau: \gamma \to \delta) \to (\mu \tau: \gamma \to \beta)$ in $\partial(\gamma \downarrow \widehat{D})$, and the induction hypothesis implies that the map $X_\mu: X_\tau = X_\delta \to X_{\mu \tau} = X_\beta = I$ is projection onto the factor indexed by $\mu$. Thus, for any map to $\lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \widehat{D})} X$, its projection onto $X_\tau = X_\delta$ is determined by its projections onto the $X_{(\gamma \to \beta) \in \widehat{D}}$, since $qp$ and the identity map agree on those projections, $qp$ must equal the identity map. This completes the induction for part 1.

For part 2, for every map $\tau: \gamma \to \delta$ in $\widehat{D}$ the map $X_\gamma \to X_\delta$ equals the composition

$$X_\gamma \to \lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \widehat{D})} X \to X_\delta$$

where the first map is the matching map of $X$ at $\gamma$ and the second is the projection from the limit $\lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \widehat{D})} X \to X_{(\tau: \gamma \to \delta)} = X_\delta$ (this is the case for every $D$-diagram in $M$, not just for $X$). Since the matching map at every object other than $\beta$ is the identity map, the map $X_\tau: X_\gamma \to X_\delta$ is the projection $\lim_{\partial(\gamma \downarrow \widehat{D})} X \to X_{(\tau: \gamma \to \delta)} = X_\delta$. The discussion in the previous paragraph shows that the projection of $X_\tau: X_\gamma \to X_\delta$ onto the factor of $X_\delta$ indexed by $\mu: \delta \to \beta$ is the projection of $X_\gamma$ onto the factor indexed by $\mu \tau: \gamma \to \beta$. This completes the induction for part 2.

We now consider the diagram $G^*X$ that $G: C \to D$ induces on $C$ from $X$.

**Proposition 4.35.** The matching object $M^C_\alpha G^*X = \lim_{\partial(\alpha \downarrow \widehat{C})} G^*X$ of the induced diagram on $C$ at $\alpha$ is homeomorphic to a product of unit intervals indexed by the union over the maps $\tau: G\alpha \to \beta$ in $\widehat{D}$ of the sets of path components of the nerve of the category of inverse $C$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \tau)$. That is,

$$M^C_\alpha G^*X \approx \prod_{(\tau: G\alpha \to \beta) \in \widehat{D}} \left( \prod_{\tau_0 N(\text{category of inverse } C\text{-factorizations of } \tau)} I \right).$$

**Proof.** Let $S = \prod_{(\alpha \to \gamma) \in \text{Ob}(\partial(\alpha \downarrow \widehat{C}))} \widehat{D}(G\gamma, \beta)$, the disjoint union over all objects $\alpha \to \gamma$ of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \widehat{C})$ of the set of maps $\widehat{D}(G\gamma, \beta)$. An element of $S$ is then an ordered pair $((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma), (\mu: G\gamma \to \beta))$ where $\nu: \alpha \to \gamma$ is an object of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \widehat{C})$ and $\mu: G\gamma \to \beta$ is a map in $\widehat{D}$, and is thus an object of the category of inverse $C$-factorizations of the composition $(\alpha, G\alpha \xrightarrow{G\nu} G\gamma \xrightarrow{\mu} \beta)$, i.e., of $(\alpha, \mu \circ G\nu: G\alpha \to \beta)$. Every object of the category of inverse $C$-factorizations of every map $(\alpha, \tau: G\alpha \to \beta)$ in $\widehat{D}$ appears exactly once, and so the set $S$ is the union over all maps $\tau: G\alpha \to \beta$ in $\widehat{D}$ of the set of objects of the category of inverse $C$-factorizations of $(\alpha, \tau)$.

Proposition 4.33 implies that for every object $\tau: \alpha \to \gamma$ in $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \widehat{C})$ the space $(G^*X)_\tau = (G^*X)_{\gamma \to \tau} = X_{G\gamma}$ is a product of unit intervals, one for each map $G\gamma \to \beta$ in $\widehat{D}$, and so the product over all objects $\tau: \alpha \to \gamma$ of $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \widehat{C})$ of $(G^*X)_\tau = (G^*X)_{\gamma \to \tau} = X_{G\gamma}$ is homeomorphic to the product of unit intervals indexed by $S$, i.e.,

$$\prod_{(\alpha \to \gamma) \in \text{Ob}(\partial(\alpha \downarrow \widehat{C}))} (G^*X)_\gamma \approx \prod_{S} I.$$
The matching object $M^0_G^*X$ is a subspace of that product. More specifically, it is the subspace consisting of the points such that, for every map

\[
\nu' \xrightarrow{\alpha} \nu \xrightarrow{\gamma'} \gamma
\]

in $\partial(\alpha \downarrow \nu)$ from $\nu: \alpha \to \gamma$ to $\nu': \alpha \to \gamma'$ and every map $\mu': G\gamma' \to \beta$ in $\square$, the projection onto the factor indexed by $((\nu': \alpha \to \gamma'),(\mu': G\gamma' \to \beta))$ equals the projection onto the factor indexed by $((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma),(\mu' \circ (G\tau): G\gamma \to \beta))$.

Generate an equivalence relation on $S$ by letting $((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma),(\mu: G\gamma \to \beta))$ be equivalent to $((\nu': \alpha \to \gamma'),(\mu': G\gamma' \to \beta))$ if there is a map $\tau: \gamma \to \gamma'$ in $\square$ such that $\tau \nu = \nu'$ and $\mu' \circ (G\tau) = \mu$, i.e., if there is a map in the category of inverse $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $(\alpha,\mu \circ (G\nu): G\alpha \to \beta)$ from $((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma),(\mu: G\gamma \to \beta))$ to $((\nu': \alpha \to \gamma'),(\mu': G\gamma' \to \beta))$; let $T$ be the set of equivalence classes. This makes two objects in the category of inverse $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of a map equivalent if there is a zig-zag of maps in that category from one to the other, i.e., if those two objects are in the same component of the nerve, and so the set $T$ is the disjoint union over all maps $\tau: G\alpha \to \beta$ in $\square$ of the set of components of the nerve of the category of inverse $\mathcal{C}$-factorizations of $(\alpha,\tau)$, i.e.,

\[
T = \prod_{(\tau: G\alpha \to \beta) \in \square} \pi_0N(\text{category of inverse } \mathcal{C}\text{-factorizations of } (\alpha,\tau)).
\]

Let $T'$ be a set of representatives of the equivalence classes $T$ (i.e., let $T'$ consist of one element of $S$ from each equivalence class); we will show that the composition

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M^0_G^*X & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}} & \prod_S I \\
\downarrow \quad p' & \downarrow \quad p' & \downarrow \quad p' \\
\prod_{T'} I & \rightarrow & \prod_{T'} I
\end{array}
\]

(where $p'$ is the projection) is a homeomorphism. We will do that by constructing an inverse $q': \prod_{T'} I \to M^0_G^*X$ to the map $p: M^0_G^*X \to \prod_{T'} I$ (where $p$ is the restriction of $p'$ to $M^0_G^*X$).

We first construct a map $q': \prod_{T'} I \to \prod_S I$ by letting the projection of $\nu'$ onto the factor indexed by $s \in S$ be the projection of $\prod_{T'} I$ onto the factor indexed by the unique $t \in T'$ that is equivalent to $s$. The description above of the subspace $M^0_G^*X$ of $\prod_S I$ makes it clear that $q'$ factors through $M^0_G^*X$ and thus defines a map $q: \prod_{T'} I \to M^0_G^*X$.

The composition $pq$ equals the identity of $\prod_{T'} I$ because the composition $p'q'$ equals the identity of $\prod_{T'} I$. To see that the composition $pq$ equals the identity of $M^0_G^*X$, it is sufficient to see that the projection of $pq$ onto the factor $I$ indexed by every element $s$ of $S$ agrees with that of the identity map of $M^0_G^*X$. Since the projections of points in $M^0_G^*X$ onto factors indexed by equivalent elements of $S$ are equal, and it is immediate that the projection of $M^0_G^*X$ onto a factor indexed by an element of the set of representatives $T'$ agrees with the corresponding projection of $pq$, the projections for every element of $S$ must agree, and so $pq$ equals the identity of $\prod_{T'} I$. \qed

**Proposition 4.36.** The diagram $G^*X$ induced on $\mathcal{C}$ is not a fibrant $\mathcal{C}$-diagram.
Proof. We will show that the matching map \((G^*X)_\alpha \to M_c(G^*X)\) of the induced \(\mathcal{E}\)-diagram at \(\alpha\) is not a fibration. Since the matching object \(M_c(G^*X)\) is a product of unit intervals (see Proposition 4.35), it is path connected, and so if the matching map were a fibration, it would be surjective. We will show that the matching map is not surjective.

Since \(\sigma: Ga \to \beta\) is a map in \(\mathcal{D}\) such that the nerve of the category of inverse \(\mathcal{E}\)-factorizations of \((\alpha, \sigma)\) is not connected, we can choose objects \((\nu: \alpha \to \gamma, \mu: G\gamma \to \beta)\) and \((\nu': \alpha \to \gamma', \mu': G\gamma' \to \beta)\) of that category that represent different path components of that nerve. Since \(\mu \circ (G\nu) = \mu' \circ (G\nu')\), Proposition 4.33 implies that the projection of the matching map onto the copies of \(I\) indexed by those objects are equal, and so the projection onto the \(I \times I\) indexed by that pair of components factors as the composition \(X_\alpha \to I \to I \times I\), where that second map is the diagonal map and is thus not surjective.

□

Proof of Theorem 4.3. This follows from Proposition 4.32 and Proposition 4.36.

4.5. Proof of Theorem 1.2. Since \(M\) is complete, the right adjoint of \(G^*\) exists and can be constructed pointwise (see [B2, Thm. 3.7.2] or [M, p. 235]), and Theorem 1.1 implies that \((G^{op})^*: (M^{op})^{D^{op}} \to (M^{op})^{C^{op}}\) is a right Quillen functor for every model category \(M^{op}\) if and only if \(G^{op}\) is fibering (because every model category \(N\) is of the form \(M^{op}\) for \(M = N^{op}\)).

Proposition 2.19 implies that the functor \(G: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{D}\) is cofibering if and only if its opposite \(G^{op}: \mathcal{D}^{op} \to \mathcal{E}^{op}\) is fibering, and Theorem 1.1 implies that this is the case if and only if \((G^{op})^*: (M^{op})^{D^{op}} \to (M^{op})^{C^{op}}\) is a right Quillen functor for every model category \(M^{op}\), which is the case if and only if \(G^*: M^D \to M^C\) is a left Quillen functor for every model category \(M\) (see Proposition 2.26 and Proposition 2.22). □
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